Journal of Palaeosciences 70(2021): xxx-xxx 0031-0174/2021



# Ediacaran periglacial sedimentary structures

## GREGORY J. RETALLACK

Department of Geological Sciences, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403, U.S.A. Email: gregr@uoregon.edu

(Received 22 January, 2021; revised version accepted 15 July, 2021)

## ABSTRACT

Retallack GJ 2021. Ediacaran periglacial sedimentary structures. Journal of Palaeosciences 70(2021): xxx-xxx.

Ediacaran fossils are sometimes reconstructed as colorful organisms of clear azure seas like tropical lagoons, or as ghostlike forms in deep, dark oceans. Alternatively, they can be envisaged as sessile organisms in frigid soils, to judge from associated Ediacaran periglacial paleosols and tillites. Additional evidence of cool Ediacaran paleoclimate now comes from reinterpretation of two supposed trace fossils: (1) grooves radiating from Ediacaran fossils interpreted as radular feeding traces ("*Kimberichnus*") of supposed molluscs (*Kimberella*), and (2) chains of fossil impressions interpreted as feeding traces ("*Epibaion*") of supposed worms or placozoans (*Yorgia, Dickinsonia*). The grooves are not curved with rounded ends like radular scratches, but with sharp or crudely bifid tips like frost flowers and frost needles extruded from plant debris. Fossil impressions in chains are not sequential feeding stations, but in polygonal arrays, like vagrant lichens and mosses displaced by wind gusts and periglacial frost boils. Thus, neither the taphomorph "*Epibaion*", nor the ice crystal pseudomorphs "*Kimberichnus*" are valid ichnogenera. These newly recognized frost boils, needle ice, frost feathers, frost hair and frost shawls are additions to isotopic and glendonite evidence that the Ediacaran was another period in Earth history when even low paleolatitudes were cool.

Key-words-Kimberichnus, Epibaion, Periglacial, Vagrant lichens, Frost boils, Needle ice.


## INTRODUCTION

**F**OSSILS of Ediacaran age have been considered animals and antecedents to the Cambrian explosion of marine invertebrate diversity, and envisaged in gaudy colors and clear blue water of tropical ecosystems (Fedonkin *et al.*, 2007a), or as pale, ghost like denizens of deep, dark waters (Narbonne *et al.*, 2014). In contrast, the discovery of paleosols in host rocks of Ediacaran fossils allows very different interpretations of grey to green creatures living on red to brown soils, and in temperate to frigid paleoclimates (Retallack, 2013b, 2017a). The Gaskiers Glaciation (Retallack, 2013a; Pu *et al.*, 2016) is only one of several glacial advances now documented from the Ediacaran Period (Retallack *et al.*, 2014; Linnemann *et al.*, 2017). This paper documents additional terrestrial periglacial sedimentary structures associated with Ediacaran fossils.

The likely periglacial structures are so distinctive that they have been named as trace fossils with unfortunately interpretative names. Ichnogenus "Epibaion", with three species "E. waggoneris", "E axiferus" and "E. costatus", has been regarded as intermittent trails of Ediacaran vendobionts (Ivantsov, 2013), Yorgia waggoneri, Dickinsonia elongata, and Dickinsonia costata, respectively. Ichnospecies "Kimberichnus teruzzi" has been interpreted as a scratch-trace of *Kimberella quadrata* (Gehling *et al.*, 2014). "*Epibaion*" has been envisaged as a feeding trail of Ediacaran fossils, such as *Yorgia* and *Dickinsonia*, thought to have moved intermittently like a flatworm or placozoan between patches of marine microbial mat consumed by ciliary abrasion or by osmotrophy (Ivantsov, 2013). "*Kimberichnus*" has been envisaged as molluscan radular scrapes from a long proboscis of *Kimberella* (Gehling *et al.*, 2014). This paper advances alternative hypotheses that "*Epibaion*" and "*Kimberichnus*" are phenomena of vagrant lichens and needle ice, respectively, and provides evidence for periglacial Ediacaran paleoclimate additional to that of other studies (Retallack, 2007; Chumakov, 2009; Vernhet *et al.*, 2012; Tahata *et al.*, 2013; Retallack *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2017).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

In addition to re–examination of museum specimens of "*Epibaon*" and "*Kimberichnus*" in the Paleontological Institute in Moscow and the South Australian Museum in Adelaide, this research included compilation of two comparative data sets. One compilation was measurements of the diameter of fossil circlets of "*Epibaon*", and of modern frost boils of varied substrate grain size (Table 1). A second compilation was



measurements of width and length of scratches of two variants (needle and wedge) of "*Kimberichnus*", and of molluscan radular scratches, frost needles and frost flowers (Table 2). Most measurements were taken from digital images with included scales, but fieldwork in South Australia included measurement of sections and cliff to create panels true to scale (Retallack, 2012a).

## **RE-EXAMINATION OF "EPIBAION"**

## Original description as feeding traces

Remarkable large slabs from the Yorgia bed of the Erga beds of the Mezen Formation, at the Zimnie Gory Locality near the Russian White Sea, showed in addition to negative hyporeliefs of the dickinsoniomorph Yorgia waggoneri (Fig. 1B, C), chains of less distinct positive hyporeliefs of comparable shape and size (Fig. 1A). Similar arcuate chains of fossils have also been discovered in the Ediacara Member of the Rawnsley Quartzite in South Australia (Retallack, 2007; Evans et al., 2019a), again with deep negative hyporeliefs of Dickinsonia costata, but also indistinct positive hyporeliefs (Fig. 1D). In both cases, the fossils are on the base of the sandy bed overlying the original living surface. These remains were interpreted by Ivantsov & Malakhovskyaya (2002) as resting or feeding traces of the underside of motile organisms, broadly comparable with trilobite feeding traces, such as Rusophycus (Fig. 2). The indistinct fossils were given an ichnotaxonomic name "Epibaion", with species based on associated species of Yorgia and Dickinsonia body fossils (Ivantsov, 2013). Faint impressions of "Epibaon" have also been characterized as "footprints" of Dickinsonia (Evans et al., 2019a, b), and as faint impressions of undersides of individuals moved by currents (McIlroy et al., 2009). Rusophycus does not show chains of fossils and has deep scrapes from limbs unlike "Epibaion", which thus was envisaged to have had a different feeding mode of dorsal osmotrophy or mucociliary feeding on algal mats of the sea floor at intermittent feeding stations (Ivantsov, 2013). Comparable feeding has also been envisaged for a placozoan interpretation of Dickinsonia (Sperling & Vinther, 2010).

## Problems with feeding trace interpretation

Re–examination of "*Epibaion*" from the Flinders Ranges of Australia and White Sea Coasts of Russia (Fig. 1) confirmed four features noted during the original description (Ivantsov &



Fig. 2—Rusophycus latus from the underside of a bed in the Early Ordovician (Tremadocian), Pacoota Sandstone in Roe Creek, Northern Territory, as an example of an undoubted feeding trace. Ornamental stone from courtyard of Geological Survey of Northern Territory, Core Facility, Alice Springs. The Australian coin to right for scale is 29 mm in diameter.

Malakhovskyaya, 2002), but incompatible with interpretation as trace fossils.

First, the lanes supposedly reworked by the fossils were originally topographically lower with "old elephant skin texture", but adjacent areas on the same slabs beyond the lanes were smooth and higher (Fig. 1A, D). As noted by Ivantsov & Malakhovskyaya (2002, p.618), "These traces occur on a common bedding surface that includes two different areas. The surface of the first area is flattened, whereas that of the second area is hummocky and complicated with different folds. Body impressions and traces of Proarticulata representatives occur only at the surface of the second area. The contact between areas with different surface patterns is sharp: the hummocky surface is slightly lower compared to the flattened one, and its margins are downwarped and submerged several centimeters into sediments grading into jointing surfaces. Short linear and star-shaped folds on the hummocky surface can be deep." Old elephant skin has been taken as a microbial texture, and thus potential food, and the smooth areas were considered eroded just before cover by sandstone (Ivantsov & Malakhovskyaya, 2002). However, feeding in well-defined lanes to expose underlying sediment should create the opposite effect: smooth lanes but microbially textured fabrics in unaffected domes beyond.

Second, broad domal areas between crowded lanes of slightly overlapping "*Epibaon*" have fewer fossils, with only one or two *Parvancorina* and *Dickinsonia* an order of

 $\leftarrow$ 

Fig. 1—Putative Ediacaran trace fossils of animal movement all on undersides of beds and interpreted here as frost boils. (A–C), "Epibaon waggoneris" putative trace of Yorgia waggoneri (B), here interpreted as inner and external moulds of Yorgia waggoneri. (D), "Epibaion costatus", putative traces of Dickinsonia costata, here interpreted as inner and external moulds of Dickinsonia costata. Specimen numbers are 44–686 KP (A, C) in Arkhangelsk Regional Museum and specimen 3993–5024 (B) in the Paleontological Institute Moscow from Yorgia bed, Erga beds, Mezen Formation, Zimnie Gory Locality, and P14359 in South Australian Museum from Ediacara Member of Rawnsley Quartzite in Ediacara Hills (D). Photos are courtesy of Aleksey Nagovitsin and Wikimedia (A, C) and Dima Grazhdankin (B).




Fig. 3—Modern (A–C) and Quaternary (D) periglacial frost boils and patterned ground. (A–B), Aerial and ground view of sandy loam soil on Howe Island, Alaska (Walker *et al.*, 2011). (C), Glaciolacustrine shales and frost boils near Galbraith Lake, Alaska (Brown & Krieg 1983). (D), 4–m–thick section of Quaternary (late Devensian) till, Tonfanau Beach, Wales (TON4 of Patton & Hambrey, 2009). Photographs by and with permission of D.A. Walker and Alaska Geobotany Center, University of Alaska, Fairbanks (A–B), Nick Bonney (C) and John S. Mason (D).

magnitude smaller than the other fossils on the same slab (Fig. 1A, D). If the smaller fossils of *Dickinsonia* were comparable with the larger ones in feeding on microbial mats it is puzzling that they are not in supposed grazing lanes with "ungrazed" patches, but on untextured areas presumably lacking food. Furthermore, the smaller fossils would have been swept away if the domes had been eroded as proposed by Ivantsov & Malakhovskyaya (2002).

Third, "*Epibaon*" impressions were considered oriented with long axes subparallel as if heading in the same direction (Ivantsov & Malakhovskyaya, 2002), but their orientation is scattered and some are orthogonal to others (Fig. 1A top right). Another way of looking at this orientation is that they are not moving in the same direction, but had their long axes displaced orthogonal to radial expansion of the smooth areas, or were randomly nudged along. Other "*Epibaon*" traces are also randomly oriented (McIlroy et al., 2009; Evans et al., 2019a, b).

Fourth, the body fossils *Dickinsonia* (Fig. 1D), and *Yorgia* (Fig. 1B, C) protruded from the sedimentary surface, so are deep holes in the overlying bed, but "*Epibaion*" had low relief domes with visible segmentation on the overlying bed (Fig. 1), so were shallow holes on the original sedimentary surface (Evans *et al.*, 2019a, b). In all cases the low relief fossils have internal seams unsmudged or blurred by any motion (Fig. 1B-D). While microbial mats could theoretically resist or overgrow smudging, the particular "old elephant skin" of the lanes has high enough relief to make that explanation untenable. "*Epibaion*" is more like remnants of poorly preserved lower structures rather than superficial imprints or feeding traces of a moving organism (Evans *et al.*, 2019a, b). It has long been clear from measurements of *Dickinsonia* that it did not decay by bloating and twisting, but by deflating




Fig. 4—Variation of size of Quaternary frost boils with mean grain size of soil for comparison with Ediacaran putative frost boils in slabs from Russia (44–686 KP in Arkhangelsk Regional Museum from Yorgia bed, Erga Formation, Zimnie Gory Locality, Russia, n = 4) and Australia (P14359 in South Australian Museum from Ediacara Member of Rawnsley Quartzite in Ediacara Hills, n = 2). The Australian Ediacaran frost boils in plan are illustrated in Fig. 1D and in section in Fig. 5A. Quaternary frost boil data (n = 187) is from Kessler & Werner (2003), Overduin and Kane (2006), Valcárcel–Díaz *et al.* (2006), Vopata *et al.* (2006), Boike *et al.* (2007), and Walker *et al.* (2011).

in thickness while maintaining the same ribbing and outline (Retallack, 2007).

#### New hypothesis as vagrant lichens

An alternative hypothesis explored here is that "Epibaion" slabs represent vagrant lichens or "glacier mice" ("jökla-mýs"), moved by wind on ground ice (Pérez, 1994, 2020; Coulson & Midgeley, 2012; Dickson & Johnson, 2014; Hotaling et al., 2020). Thawing of frozen bases of polsters of moss or lichen allow intermittent lateral movement by gusts of wind. This explanation explains linear arrays of what appear like "footprints" of Dickinsonia (Evans et al., 2019a). Other Yorgia and Dickinsonia are arrayed in rings which may have resulted from radial displacement by frost boils (Fig. 3), also known as frost scars, mud boils, mud circles, mud hummocks, and unsorted polygonal patterned ground (Boike et al., 2008). Such structures explain displacement of both living and dead, partly decomposed organisms, before recolonization by a much younger (smaller) cohort (Fig. 1). Faint imprints of "Epibaion" overlap slightly, whereas other specimens of presumed living and sessile Dickinsonia show allelopathic reaction rims (Retallack, 2007).

Frost boil refers to patches of soil heaved by ground ice like a skin abscess, rather than boiling temperatures. Such soil cracking and doming displaces growth of lichens and plants to polygonal surrounding troughs (Brown & Krieg 1983; Walker *et al.*, 2011). Many frost boils are much larger than the Ediacaran slabs (Fig. 1), but of appropriate size for the modal grain size of Ediacaran fossils, which was  $6 \phi$  (16 μm) for both the Ediacara Member (Retallack, 2012b) and Erga beds (Ivantsov, 2013; Retallack, 2020). A compilation of data on the size of Quaternary frost boils (Kessler & Werner 2003; Overduin & Kane, 2006; Valcárcel–Díaz *et al.*, 2006; Vopata *et al.*, 2006; Boike *et al.*, 2008; Walker *et al.*, 2011) shows that frost boils on gravel are an order of magnitude larger than those of silty and clayey soils, which scale well with the Ediacaran fossils (Fig. 4). Smaller frost boils are also found in colder climates than larger frost boils, and on land surfaces that are geologically younger (Walker *et al.*, 2011). Circles rather than stripes are found in topographic gradients of less than 2° (Kessler & Werner, 2003).

Good exposures of the Ediacara Member in the Flinders Ranges of South Australia (Fig. 5A, B) and of the Erga beds of the Mezen Formation at the Zimnie Gory Yorgia-"Epibaion" excavation (Fig. 5C, D) show cross sections similar to modern and Quaternary cryoturbation. The Australian deformation is high amplitude like well-developed Quaternary involutions (Fig. 3D), but the Russian deformation extends less deeply like modern frost boils on Holocene lake beds (Fig. 3C). The Russian deformation of the Yorgia-"Epibaion" bed extends laterally 300 m and is described by Leonov et al. (2007, p. 23) thus, "The productive surface is cragged, fine and mediumhilly, with big, isometric in plan depressions. The surface changes in lateral direction to grained surface with plucking furrows, contained no soft-bodied remains." The Australian deformation level (1.8 m in Fig. 5A) can be traced laterally 100 m in Brachina Gorge, but is at the same stratigraphic horizon over 50 km from the Ediacara Hills south to Bunyeroo Gorge. Both Russian (Dzik, 2003; Leonov et al., 2007) and Australian (Gehling, 2000; Retallack, 2012a) sections include soft sediment deformation on multiple horizons. These Ediacaran soft sediment deformations are comparable with frost boils seen in Quaternary cliffs (Fig. 2D; Patton & Hambrey, 2009) and excavations (Fig. 3C; Johnsson, 1963; Brown & Krieg, 1983; Hamilton & Ashley, 1993; Horváth et al., 2005). Minor offsets and tension gashes in outcrop obscure the lithified Ediacaran examples (Fig. 5), just as shovel smoothing of pit walls and minor gullying obscure the Quaternary examples (Fig. 3). Other Ediacaran periglacial structures in South Australia include thufur mounds and tillites (Retallack et al., 2014). Frost boils show rheid deformation, whereas thufur mounds are brecciated and have brittle uplifted slabs (Retallack, 2011, 2012a).

Ediacaran intrastratal deformation from Australia has previously been called "load casts" (Jenkins *et al.*, 1983) or "ball and pillow structure" (Gehling, 2000), and, in Russia, "plastic deformation of layered sand under load" (Dzik, 2003) and "convolute bedding structures" (Leonov *et al.*, 2007). Thus, they were interpreted as subsurface failure of a thixotropic layer due to water pressure variation or seismic shaking. Unlike seismically induced or gravity–driven load structures, mud volcanoes, sand blows, contorted lamination, dykes and breccia (Wheeler, 2002; Owen, 2003),




Fig. 5—Ediacaran high amplitude frost boils in section from the Ediacara Member of the Rawnsley Quartzite in Brachina Gorge, South Australia (A–B) and low amplitude frost boils from the "Yorgias"–"Epibaion" horizon of the Erga beds at Zimnie Gory, Russia (C–D). Only 5–8 m north in A is shown in the detailed photograph B. Data and images are from Leonov et al. (2007) and Retallack (2012a).

the distinctive deformation fades downward in multiple generations from the undulating surface to undeformed layers below. The Australian example shows at least four episodes of convolution more poorly preserved from the top down, and each onlapped by continuing sedimentation during deformation (Fig. 5A, B). These features are topdown alterations, not bottom-up convolution of an unstable layer. Furthermore, they lack injected dykes of breccia or sand from below as in seismic 'sand blows' (Wheeler, 2002). Other features ruling out load structures are the brittle failures ("stretch marks" of Dzik 2003 and "synaeresis cracks" of Gehling, 2000) associated with this deformation and tearing apart fossils such as Dickinsonia. Thin sections of Dzik (2003, his fig. 3A) and Retallack (2012a, his fig. 9A) show that these were not just shallow synaeresis or stretch dilations, but vertical, strongly-tapering, tension gashes and cracks, like those created by ice in ancient (Fig. 5A, B) and modern frost boils (Fig. 3A–C). This combination of brittle alternating with fluid deformation is evidence against purely fluid load casting (Gehling, 2000). It is also unlike mud cracks (Weinberger, 2001), or brittle mukkara structure of Vertisol soils from desiccation cracking and fragmentation (Retallack, 1986).

Another curious observation explained by the frost boil hypothesis is why cohorts and imprints of large *Yorgia* and *Dickinsonia* are displaced into arcs, but small *Dickinsonia*, *Praecambridium* and *Parvancorina* are scattered randomly on the same slabs (Ivantsov & Malakhovskyaya, 2002). Frost boil activity is most pronounced during years of unusual freeze-thaw, and most affects perennial long-lived sessile creatures such as lichens and mosses (Walker *et al.*, 2011). Some *Dickinsonia* individuals lived for many thousands of years, judging from relative soil development under






Fig. 6—Putative Ediacaran radular scratch marks, "Kimberichnus teruzzi", of the supposed mollusk Kimberella quadrata, all on undersides of beds and interpreted here as needle ice casts. Arrows in panel A show needles with both hollow and covered ends, as evidence that they were oblique to surface: one end under the mud and the other end protruding. (A), 3493/5137 Paleontological Institute, Moscow from Zimnie Gory Locality, Zimnie Gory Formation. (B), 3993/5619 Paleontological Institute, Moscow from Solza River Locality, Zimnie Gory Formation. (C), P35657 South Australian Museum from Brachina Gorge, Ediacara Member of Rawnsley Quartzite. Photos A–B courtesy of Dima Grazhdankin.

fossiliferous surfaces (Retallack, 2013b). Small *Dickinsonia*, *Praecambridium* and *Parvancorina* may represent youthful cohorts not yet culled by a lethal freeze–thaw season. Gutters between the frost boils accumulated imprints and dead polsters of the oldest cohorts of vendobionts by this hypothesis, blown along these depressions.

The view of vendobionts as sessile organisms on cold desert soils favoured here (Retallack 2013b, 2019) has been considered falsified by evidence of high energy subaqueous deposition (by Zakrevskaya, 2014; Evans *et al.*, 2015; Tarhan *et al.*, 2015; McMahon *et al.*, 2020). These high energy events are known to have been unidirectional from knocked–down fronds and partial erosion of *Dickinsonia* oriented in the same



Fig. 7—Modern limpet radular scraping of cyanobacteria from siltstone of Kimmeridge Bay, Dorset, UK. Photo courtesy of Jessica Winder.

direction (Evans et al., 2015, 2019a, b; Tarhan et al., 2015; Droser et al., 2019). Thus they are more like unidirectional floods (Retallack, 2017a, 2019) than multidirectional storm currents which produce hummocky bedding in shallow seas and lakes (Dott & Bourgeois, 1982; Eyles & Clark, 1986). Re-examination of sedimentary facies of the Ediacara Member reveals flooding alternating with thin wind-drift layers (interflag sandstone laminae), and occasional upper flow regime flooding (massive facies: Retallack, 2019). Other eolian features documented in the Ediacara Member include climbing translatent stratification, setulfs, and wind dissected ripples (McMahon et al., 2020). Low boron content of both Russian and Australian Dickinsonia are evidence of non-marine environments (Retallack, 2020). Nor is the idea of vendobionts as sessile and long-lived contradicted by sterols taken as evidence that Dickinsonia was a mobile animal (Bobrovskiy et al., 2018), because cholesterol is also found in glomeromycotan fungi and red algae (Retallack, 2020), which are known in Ediacaran rocks from spores, vesicles (Retallack, 2015) and permineralized fragments (Yuan et al., 2005). New examples of "intravital damage" of Dickinsonia from Russia (Ivantsov et al., 2020) are additional evidence of lichen-like growth of terminal meristems and of frost damage. The disrupted zones have shrunken and wrinkled, and separate one or two regrown axes. The shrunken and wrinkled damage zone is most like frost damage of lichens (Benedict, 1990, 2009) and plants (Silberbauer-Gottsberger et al., 1977), and unlike scars (Niessen et al., 1999) or limb regrowth of animals (Birnbaum & Alvarado, 2008). The double axes of regrowth are like a system of apical and lateral meristems as in lichens (Hammer, 2000) and plants (Sugimoto et al., 2011), rather than subterminal growth zones of segmented animals (Shen et al., 2014; Dunn et al., 2017).

## **RE-EXAMINATION OF "KIMBERICHNUS"**

#### Original description as backhoe proboscis scraping

The Ediacaran fossil *Kimberella* (Fig. 6A, C) has been regarded as an early mollusc (Fedonkin & Waggoner, 1997), and associated scratches on the slab were considered feeding traces by Seilacher *et al.* (2005) and Seilacher (2007). These long (up to 3 cm) and narrow hyporelief ridges were formally named ichnogenus "*Kimberichnus*" by Ivantsov (2013), but bear no resemblance to the serried ranks of short scrapes created by modern molluscs (Fig. 7: see also Gehling *et al.*, 2014), which are better referred to the ichnogenus *Radulichnus* (Dornbos *et al.*, 2004). These differences in the traces led to the proposal of a feeding mechanism unique to *Kimberella*, by means of a bifid radula at the end of an extensible proboscis used to scrape microbial mats backwards in the manner of a mechanical backhoe (Gehling *et al.*, 2014).

#### Problems with backhoe proboscis interpretation

Re–examination of "*Kimberichnus*" from the Flinders Ranges of Australia and White Sea of Russia (Fig. 6) confirmed four problems with the radular scraping hypothesis of Gehling *et al.* (2014).

First, "Kimberichnus" are not grooves scraped into the surface with ploughed and overturned margins (Figs 2, 7), but needles skewering the surface obliquely (Fig. 6). The needles commonly show a hollow part (thus immersed in the surface), a tubular part (a groove in the surface but ridge on the cover slab) and a covered part (thus emergent from the surface: arrows in Fig. 6A). Some needles are wide (wedge morph) and considered paired by Gehling et al. (2014), although pairing is difficult to see in closely spaced examples (Fig. 6C). Others are narrow with sharp ends and screw dislocations in which the edges twist along their length (arrows of Fig. 6A). Furthermore, many "Kimberichnus" grooves are crisscrossing rather than strictly aligned (Fig. 6A). Rather than the expected short ploughing of a reflexed proboscis, the needle morph of "Kimberichnus" has continuity over lengths of as much as 31 mm, and each appears inserted outwards over and under others for about twice the length of Kimberella or its imagined proboscis.

Second, grooves of "*Kimberichnus*" not only radiate from *Kimberella* fossils that protruded from the original sedimentary surface (Fig. 6A, C). They are just as likely to radiate from fissures, including radial cracks around uplifted clods (concentric ridges in bed cast of Fig. 6A), and divots in the sedimentary surface (small pelletoidal casts of Fig. 6B). Of 13 specimens of "*Kimberichnus*" illustrated by Gehling *et al.* (2014) only six are associated with *Kimberella*, and seven radiate from sedimentary irregularities.

Third, *Kimberella* with a supposed molluscan muscular foot left no trace of relocation in any of the known specimens




Fig. 8—Horizontal needle ice: (A), ice moulds (upper left) within mud and needles (lower right) within small dry puddles on a mountain trail at the ski resort of Postavaru, Romania (25 February 2007) courtesy of Stefan Puscasu; (B–D), formation and dissolution of needle ice in badlands of Ruby Basin, 6 km southeast of Painted Hills Oregon, respectively at 7.12 a.m. 24 February 2018, 7.42 a.m.24 February 2018 and 8.32 a.m. 26 February 2018, courtesy of Noah P. Kannegeisser.

of "*Kimberichnus*", nor on less microbially encrusted surfaces lacking "old elephant skin". Supposed escape traces of *Kimberella* (Fedonkin *et al.*, 2007b) are merely elongate individual fossils, not an inverted trail, nor cemented tunnel roof. Although it has been argued that the microbial mat was too firm or constantly renovated to preserve a trace (Ivantsov, 2013; Gehling *et al.*, 2014), this did not prevent preservation of other trails with levees, sometimes feeding on *Dickinsonia* (Gehling & Droser, 2018).


Fourth, there is never any indication of smudging or bulging in impressions of "*Kimberichnus*" comparable with scrapes of the trilobite trace fossil *Rusophycus* (Fig. 2). The low relief "*Kimberichnus*" needle casts were not only filled downward, but penetrate upward into overlying sandstone, and are thus similar to poorly preserved body fossils bridging irregularities in the substrate (Fig. 6A, B).

## Alternative hypothesis as needle ice

*"Kimberichnus"* can also be interpreted as casts of needle ice crystals in horizontal sprays within clayey or microbial surfaces (as in the upper left part of Fig. 8A). A variety of ice forms also protrude horizontally from the margins of small puddles (Fig. 8A center), and also from organic debris, biological soil crust pinnacles, and soil pieces proud of the soil surface (Fig. 8C-D). Nucleating Ediacaran objects included Kimberella, which rose above the surface, and may have a prominent spray of needles emanating from one end (Fig. 6A). Such sprays may have been extruded from Kimberella cavities, but that does not mean they were created by living Kimberella, because modern needle ice extrudes from particular hollows of dead plant debris (Fig. 9A-E). Ediacaran horizontal sprays from nucleating fossils have attracted most attention (Seilacher, 2007; Gehling et al., 2014), but vertical dislocation of large rounded clods are also found preserved as ring cracks (Fig. 6A), as well as many small displaced clods (Fig. 6B). Elevation of small soil clods on vertical palisades of ground ice, and uplift of larger clods by ice palisades are common in modern needle ice (Fig. 9C). Furthermore, ice palisades nucleate from shallow depths of supercooling to leave rounded holes, now the round-bottomed casts of ring cracks (Fig. 6A), and not sharply tapering desiccation cracks (Weinberger, 2001). Ivantsov's (2011) observation that Ediacaran grooves of "Kimberichnus" are not found within arcs of "Epibaon" is explained by their different mechanisms of formation. Frost boils form in frigid climates (Walker et

10













*al.*, 2011), whereas needle ice is an ephemeral product of local weather events in frigid to cool temperate and alpine climates (Lawler, 1988). Thus frost boils and needle ice are most commonly found separately, but can occur together in modern soils (Walker *et al.*, 2011).

Measurements of the length and width of Ediacaran scratches revealed two distinct size populations representing needle and wedge morphs, both an order of magnitude larger than modern molluscan radular scratches (Fig. 10). A wide array of crystal forms and sizes can be recognized in ground ice: frost flowers (Fig. 9A; Mason et al., 1963), frost needles (Fig. 9B; Mason et al. 1963), frost palisades (Fig. 9C; Lawler 1989, 1993), frost hair (Fig. 9D; Hillefors, 1976; Wagner & Mätzler, 2008), frost shawls (Fig. 9E; Herschel, 1833; Matthews, 1999), and frost feathers (Fig. 9F; Arakawa 1955). Frost flowers may be represented by the wedge morph of "Kimberichnus" (Fig. 6C), frost needles by the needle morph of "Kimberichnus" (Fig. 6A, B), and frost palisades displacing divots and small clods are also recognizable (Fig. 6A, B). By this model, the mound of Kimberella (Fig. 5C) and the central nubbin of "Coronacollina" (Clites et al., 2012) were hydrated internally, but their observed marginal folds focused horizontal needle growth into cold air and surrounding slimy clay or soil (as in Fig. 9A-B). Other patterns of modern ice needles shown in Fig. 9 also are known from other Ediacaran fossils. Palisade needles (Fig. 9C) were misidentified as *Pteridinium* by Dyson (1985), according to Jenkins (1986). The frost feather pattern (Fig. 9F) may have been misinterpreted as spicules in "Palaeophragmodictya" (Gehling & Rigby, 1996, Serezhnikova, 2007). Different kinds of Ediacaran fossils had different propensities for nucleation of particular ice crystal forms, and many Ediacaran fossils did not nucleate needle ice.

Ice crystal casts are known from a geological record back to Neoproterozoic, and include simple isolated needles without preferred orientation within shales (Talbot, 1981; Bandel & Shinaq, 2003). Similar ice needles have been observed to form under water in Arctic Oceans (Riemnitz *et al.*, 1986). More like "*Kimberichnus*" are ice needle sprays, ice feathers, and ice shawls illustrated from Ordovician periglacial shales of West Africa (Denis *et al.*, 2007; Nutz *et al.*, 2013; Girard *et al.*, 2015). Similar modern ice crystal sprays have been found in modern tidal flats (Dionne, 1985), and muddy lake margins (Mark, 1932), and may require microbial mats or microbial earths for nucleation. Experimental studies have




Fig. 10—Measurements of length and width of scratch marks from the radulae of modern marine molluscs (mainly limpets and chitons) on siltstone at Point Loma near San Diego, California (see Dornbos *et al.*, 2004), are very distinct from length and width of frost needles (Fig. 9B) and flowers (Fig. 9A), and comparable fossils from the Ediacara Member of the Rawnsley Quartzite in South Australia, including the needle–morph of "*Kimberichnus*" (South Australian Museum P35663 from Mt Scott) and the wedge–morph of "*Kimberichnus*" (South Australian Museum P35651a from Bathtub Gorge, see Gehling *et al.*, 2014).\

shown that ice needle molds are only preserved in matrix of grain sizes finer than 0.4 mm (Allan, 1926). The Ediacaran examples described here are oriented in sprays controlled by extrusion from other objects, like modern needle ice (Fig. 8), frost flowers (Fig. 9A), frost hair (Fig. 9D), and frost shawls (Fig. 9E). The needle ice impressions described here were all associated with "old elephant skin" surfaces with healed and sutured cracks diagnostic of biological soil crusts (Retallack, 2012a). Thus they penetrated tough biomats enriched in clay (Retallack, 2013b), which preferentially preserved them as casts when the ice melted and the matrix hardened as it dried out, as observed in clayey soils today (Fig. 8).

Needle ice is also known as pipkrake, frost ribbons, and frost whiskers, and is formed when cold–front air encounters soil or plant debris saturated previously by rain, so that needles protrude many millimetres into cold air, water or mud from the saturated zone (Lawler, 1989, 1993). Much needle ice is vertical from saturated ground, but subhorizontal splays protrude from mounds of earth, or erect parts of

 $<sup>\</sup>leftarrow$ 

Fig. 9—Varied forms of ground ice proud of the substrate. Each of these delicate structures may have been found in Ediacaran paleosols as the basis for what are considered here invalid genera: *Kimberichnus* (Gehling *et al.*, 2014), *Coronacollina* (Clites *et al.*, 2012), and *Palaeophragmodictya* (Gehling & Rigby, 1996). (A), Frost flower from roadside pond near Frisco, Colorado (2 May, 2011). (B), Frost needles at edge of pond in Chugach State Park, near Eagle River, Alaska (29 October 2011). (C), Frost palisades uplifting soil clods southwest of Granges–sur–Vologne, France (28 December, 2008). (D), Frost hair extruded from stick at Mt Maxwell, British Columbia (28 December, 2003). (E), Frost shawl on grass near Shepherdsville, Kentucky (2 December, 2006). (F), Frost feather on water surface, Dillon Reservoir, Colorado (4 December, 2011). By and with permission of Robert Berwyn of Summit County Citizen's Voice (A, F), Ray Bulson of Wilderness Visions (B), and Thomas Bresson of Belfort, France. Others from Wikimedia Commons.

plants (Herschel, 1833; Hillefors, 1976; Matthews, 1999). Subhorizontal frost needles and feathers also form at the surface of ephemeral ponds (Arakawa, 1955; Mason *et al.*, 1963). During the Ediacaran, there were no vascular land plants, but a variety of quilted fossils (Seilacher, 1992, 2007) existed to nucleate ice crystals larger than modern molluscan radular scrapes (Fig. 10). Such shallow ephemeral ponds and soil flooding are compatible with interpretation of the sedimentary beds as paleosols (Retallack, 2013b).

## IMPLICATIONS FOR EDIACARAN PALEOCLIMATE

Frost boils, and other unsorted polygons form in climates with mean annual air temperature of 0 to -4 °C, mean air temperature of coldest month -8 °C, mean air temperature of warmest month 5 to 9 °C, freezing index of 1000 to > 7000 °C days per year, thawing index 1000–2000 °C days per year, with rapid temperature drops in moderately continental settings (Williams, 1986). Their formation is aided by fine grain size and soil organic matter, documented to have increased during Ediacaran soil formation (Retallack, 2012b, 2013b).

Needle ice is common today in a variety of temperate climates from 48–64° north latitude and 34–78° south latitude at sea level, but also is found in tropical regions at elevations of 3400–5300 m (Lawler, 1988). This interpretation of "*Kimberichnus*" as crystal pseudomorphs of needle ice requires molding by clay–organic matrix, which has been inferred for "old elephant skin" substrates in both Russia and South Australia (Retallack, 2012a), and demonstrated by geochemical study of paleosols beneath fossiliferous surfaces in South Australia (Retallack, 2012b, 2013b). Horizontal needle ice is most common in water–saturated lowlands, including surficial ephemeral ponds (Fig. 8).

Needle ice and frost boils can now be added to a variety of other periglacial features common in Ediacaran paleosols of South Australia (Fig. 5), including loess, thufur mounds, and weak chemical differentiation of paleosols (Retallack, 2007; Retallack et al., 2014). There are also three stratigraphic levels in the Ediacaran stratotype section of South Australia with dropped pebbles, which can be correlated with successive international Gaskiers (580 Ma), Fauquier (571 Ma), Bou-Azzer (566 Ma) and Hankalchough (551 Ma) glacial advances (Chumakov, 2009; Vernhet et al., 2012; Retallack et al., 2014). Such indications of cold paleoclimate including icebergs are notable because of their low paleolatitude:  $11.8 \pm 2.5^{\circ}$  for the Brachina Formation of South Australia (Schmidt & Williams, 2010). The Ediacaran White Sea Group of Russia, with its evidence of frost boils and needle ice (Fig. 1A-C, 6A, B), was also at low paleolatitudes of  $23.3 \pm 4.8^{\circ}$  (Popov *et al.*, 2002). Furthermore, these Ediacaran frosty paleoclimates were at sea level, but needle ice and frost boils are unknown at such low latitudes today (Lawler, 1988, Walker et al., 2011). Paleosols and paleokarst at paleoelevation of 1 to 500 m are known in the Wonoka Formation of South Australia (Retallack *et al.*, 2014), but the Ediacara Member with indications of frost includes other intervals of intertidal facies (Retallack, 2012b, 2013c), so accumulated near sea level. The fossils from the Mezen Formation of Russia are in upper shoreface facies of a delta (Grazhdankin, 2004).

Ediacaran halite inclusions are evidence of tropical seawater temperatures of only  $23.1 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C (Meng *et al.*, 2011), and cool tropical waters also are indicated by relatively high  $\delta^{18}$ O values of Ediacaran marine carbonates (Tahata *et al.*, 2013). Ikaite pseudomorphs (glendonites) indicative of cold (-1.9 °C to +3 °C) marine waters have been reported from low paleolatitude Ediacaran rocks of China (Wang *et al.*, 2017). Cold temperatures at sea level in tropical paleolatitudes are evidence of Ediacaran paleoclimates very different to modern. Although not as cold as the Cryogenian Period, with its unusually extensive glaciers and Gelisol paleosols (Williams, 1986; Retallack *et al.*, 2015), the Ediacaran Period was also a globally cold interval of Earth history.

## IMPLICATIONS FOR TAXONOMY

*"Epibaon"* and *"Kimberichnus"* have been proposed as trace fossils of Ediacaran large animals, but this study advances alternative hypotheses of them as artefacts of vagrant lichens and of needle ice (Fig. 11). By this view, *"Epibaon"* represents poorly preserved or ventral impressions (taphomorphs) of organisms with prior names of *Dickinsonia* and *Yorgia*, and *"Kimberichnus"* constitutes inorganic casts (pseudomorphs) of needle ice occasionally radiating from hollow *Kimberella*. Thus neither *"Epibaon"* nor *"Kimberichnus"* are regarded as valid ichnogenera. As outlined above, other invalid genera may have been ice needles (*"Coronacollina"* of Clites *et al.*, 2012), and frost feathers (*"Palaeophragmodictya"* of Gehling & Rigby, 1996).

Reinterpretation of "Epibaion" and "Kimberichnus" as non-motile forms supports a range of evidence that many iconic Ediacaran unskeletonized fossils were not marine animals. This now includes a variety of new observations beyond the periglacial structures outlined here. Seilacher (1992, 2007) first outlined features of these fossils precluding animal affinities, such as segmentation offset on midlines (thus not annelid segments), and lack of marginal musculature in discoids (thus not jellyfish). Segmentation offsets remain indisputable for Yorgia (Ivantsov, 2011, 2013), but a few Dickinsonia have since been found with segments apparently crossing the midline (Evans et al., 2017). Discoids are no longer regarded as jellyfish, but as microbial colonies (Grazhdankin & Gerdes, 2007) or holdfasts (Tarhan et al., 2015). Putative Ediacaran sea pens did not have separate polyps added from the base, but were complex fractal sheets growing from the apex (Antcliffe & Brasier, 2007). Putative Ediacaran sponges lack any diagnostic features of Porifera (Antcliffe et al., 2014). Putative Ediacaran foraminifera added




Fig. 11—Photographs (A–C) and interpretive sketches (A'–C') of supposed trace fossils as Ediacaran frost boils (A–B) and needle ice (C). (A), Yorgia waggoneri (taphomorph = "Epibaon waggoneris") crowded into gutters between frost boils with scattered individuals of small Dickinsonia lissa. (B), Dickinsonia costata (taphomorph = "Epibaion costata") crowded into gutters between frost boils with scattered Praecambridium sigillum and Parvancorina minchami. (C), "Kimberichnus terruzzi" (pseudomorph) needle–ice radiating from soil crust pinnacles and Kimberella quadrata, with soil pits (yellow) created by ice lifting of pellets (brown). Additional area shown in A' is from Ivantsov and Malakhovskaya (2002). Specimens are 44–686 KP in Arkhangelsk Regional Museum from Yorgia bed, Erga beds, Mezen Formation, Zimnie Gory locality (A), P14359 in South Australian Museum from Ediacara Member of Rawnsley Quartzite in Ediacara Hills (B), 3493/5137 in Paleontological Institute, Moscow from Letni Bereg, Solza River locality, Zimnie Gory Formation (C).

chambers in branching patterns unlike any known Protozoa (Antcliffe *et al.*, 2011). Architecture of some Ediacaran fossils has high fractal dimensionality (1.6–2.4) more like plants and fungi than animals (Cuthill & Conway Morris 2014). Feeding

trails on *Dickinsonia* have been attributed to scavengers eating buried animals (Gehling & Droser, 2018), but the worm traces have laterally mounded levees as evidence that they fed on immobile *Dickinsonia* at the surface. Basal feeding threads

like mycorrhizae (Antcliffe et al., 2015; Liu & Dunn, 2020) and satellite vegetative propagules (Mitchell et al., 2015) are also more like lichens than animals. Ediacaran fossils are common on "old elephant skin" textured surfaces, a trace fossil (Rivularites) of biological soil crusts, and not with the usual trace fossils of aquatic mats (Rugalichnus). Rollups and redeposited mat fragments are hallmarks of aquatic microbial mats, yet not a single case of mat redeposition has been reported with Rivularites and Ediacaran fossils in South Australia or Russia (Seilacher, 2007; Retallack, 2012b; Zakrevskaya, 2014). Mat redeposition has been noted in Ediacaran lacustrine to intertidal facies of England and Newfoundland with the woven-filamentous microtexture and flexuous morphology of Rugalichnus mats (Callow & Brasier, 2009; Retallack, 2016; Stimson et al., 2017), and these are distinct from the presumed microbially stabilized sandstone intraclasts figured from the Ediacara Member by Tarhan et al. (2017). Supposed "hummocky cross-beds" found with Ediacaran fossils (Tarhan et al., 2015) are low (4 cm) isolated megaripples, unlike genuine large (25–20 cm) hummocky stratification in cosets (Dott & Bourgeois, 1982). Ripple marked and other fossiliferous beds have silica cements with Ge: Si ratios of 1–10 µmol/mol (Tarhan et al., 2016), diagnostic of soil rather than marine cements (Retallack, 2017b). Finally, other Ediacaran fossils were found in life position within what have been interpreted as well-drained gypsic and calcic paleosols, so may have been sessile lichens or microbial colonies (Retallack, 2013b).

Acknowledgements—D. Grazhdankin, D.A. Walker, R. Bulson and R. Berwyn offered useful discussion. N. Pledge and D. Grazhdankin provided study casts and high resolution illustrations of fossils. Helpful reviews of earlier drafts of this paper were provided by Steve McLoughlin, Alex Liu, Breandan MacGabhann, and Jerzy Dzik. Access to museum collections was provided by Dennis Rice (South Australian Museum, Adelaide), Jere Lipps (University of California Museum of Paleontology, Berkeley) and Alexandr Ponomarenko (Palaeontological Institute, Moscow). Permission for Fieldwork in South Australia was facilitated by Kate Lloyd, Pauline Coulthard, Arthur Coulthard, Ken Anderson, and Darren Crawford, funded by the PRF fund of the American Chemical Society, and assisted by Christine Metzger and Jim Gehling.

#### REFERENCES

- Allan JA 1926. Ice crystal markings. American Journal of Science 11: 494–500.
- Antcliffe JB & Brasier MD 2007. Charnia and sea pens are poles apart. Geological Society of London Journal 164: 49–51.
- Antcliffe JB, Callow RHT & Brasier MD 2014. Giving the early fossil record of sponges a squeeze. Biological Reviews 89: 972–1004.
- Antcliffe JB, Gooday AJ & Brasier MD 2011. Testing the protozoan hypothesis for Ediacaran fossils: a developmental analysis of *Palaeopascichnus*.

Paleontology 54: 1157-1175.

- Antcliffe JB, Hancy AD & Brasier MD 2015. A new ecological model for the ~565Ma Ediacaran Biota of Mistaken Point, Newfoundland. Precambrian Research 268: 227–242.
- Arakawa K 1955. The growth of ice crystals in water. Journal of Glaciology 17: 463–464.
- Bandel K & Shinaq R 2003. Sediments of the Precambrian Wadi Abu Barqa Formation influenced by life and their relation to the Cambrian sandstones in southern Jordan. Freiberger Forschungshefte 499: 78–91.
- Benedict JB 1990. Winter frost injury to lichens: Colorado Front Range. Bryologist 93: 423-426.
- Benedict JB 2009. A review of lichenometric dating and its applications to archaeology. American Antiquity 74: 143–172.
- Birnbaum KD & Alvarado AS 2008. Slicing across kingdoms: regeneration in plants and animals. Cell 132: 697–710
- Bobrovskiy I, Hope JM, Ivantsov A, Nettersheim BJ, Hallmann C & Brocks JJ 2018. Ancient steroids establish the Ediacaran fossil *Dickinsonia* as one of the earliest animals. Science 361: 1246–1249.
- Boike J, Ippisch O, Overduin PP, Hagedorn B & Roth K 2008. Water, heat and solute dynamics of a mud boil, Spitsbergen. Geomorphology 95: 61–73.
- Brown J & Krieg RA 1983. Guidebook to permafrost and related features along the Elliott and Dalton Highways from Fox to Prudhoe Bay, Alaska. International Permafrost Conference Fairbanks Guidebook 4: 230 pp.
- Callow RH & Brasier MD 2009. Remarkable preservation of microbial mats in Neoproterozoic siliciclastic settings: Implications for Ediacaran taphonomic models. Earth–Science Reviews 96: 207–219
- Chumakov NM 2009. The Baykonurian Glaciohorizon of the Late Vendian. Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation 17: 373–381.
- Clites EC, Droser EL & Gehling JG 2012. The advent of hard–part structural support among the Ediacara biota: Ediacaran harbinger of a Cambrian mode of body construction. Geology 40: 307–310.
- Coulson SJ & Midgley NG 2012. The role of glacier mice in the invertebrate colonisation of glacial surfaces: the moss balls of the Falljökull, Iceland. Polar Biology 35: 1651–1658.
- Cuthill JFH & Conway Morris S 2014. Fractal branching organizations of Ediacaran rangeomorph fronds reveal a lost Proterozoic body plan. U.S. National Academy of Sciences Proceedings 111: 13122–13126.
- Denis M, Buoncristiani JF, Konaté M, Guiraud M & Eyles N 2007. The origin and glaciodynamic significance of sandstone ridge networks from the Hirnantian glaciation of the Djado Basin (Niger). Sedimentology 54: 1225–1243.
- Dickson JH & Johnson RE 2014. Mosses and the beginning of plant succession on the Walker Glacier, southeastern Alaska. Lindbergia 37: 60–65.
- Dionne JC 1985. Formes, figures et faciès sédimentaires glaciels des estrans vaseux des régions froides. Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 51: 415–451.
- Dornbos SQ, Bottjer DJ & Chen J 2004. Evidence for seafloor microbial mats and associated metazoan lifestyles in Lower Cambrian phosphorites of southwest China. Lethaia 37: 127–137.
- Dott RH & Bourgeois J 1982. Hummocky stratification: significance of its variable bedding sequences. Geological Society of America Bulletin 93: 663–680.
- Droser ML, Gehling JG, Tarhan LG, Evans SD, Hall CMS, Hughes IV, Hughes EB, Dzaugis ME, Dzaugis MP, Dzaugis PW & Rice D 2019. Piecing together the puzzle of the Ediacara Biota: excavation and reconstruction at the Ediacara National Heritage Site Nilpena (South Australia). Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 513: 132–145.
- Dunn FS, Liu AG & Donoghue PCJ 2017. Ediacaran developmental biology. Biological Review 93: 914–921.
- Dyson IA 1985. Frond–like fossils from the base of the late Precambrian Wilpena Group, South Australia. Nature 318: 283–285.
- Dzik J 2003. Anatomical information content in the Ediacaran fossils and their possible zoological affinities. Integrative and Comparative Biology 43: 114–126.
- Evans SD, Droser ML & Gehling JG 2015. Dickinsonia liftoff: evidence

of current derived morphologies. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 434: 28–33.

- Evans SD, Droser ML & Gehling JG 2017. Highly regulated growth and development of the Ediacara macrofossil *Dickinsonia costata*. PloS One 12: e0176874.
- Evans SD, Gehling JG & Droser ML 2019a. Slime travelers: Early evidence of animal mobility and feeding in an organic mat world. Geobiology 17: 490–509.
- Evans SD, Huang W, Gehling JG, Kisailus D & Droser ML 2019b. Stretched, mangled, and torn: Responses of the Ediacaran fossil *Dickinsonia* to variable forces. Geology 47: 1049–1053.
- Eyles N & Clark BM 1986. Significance of hummocky and swaley cross– stratification in late Pleistocene lacustrine sediments of the Ontario Basin, Canada. Geology 14: 679–682.
- Fedonkin MA, Gehling JG, Grey K, Narbonne GM & Vickers-Rich P 2007a. The Rise of Animals: Evolution and Diversification of the Kingdom Animalia: Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 326 pp.
- Fedonkin MA, Simonetta A & Ivantsov AY 2007b. New data on *Kimberella*, the Vendian mollusc–like organism (White Sea region, Russia): palaeoecological and evolutionary implications. *In*: Vickers–Rich P & Komarower P (Editors)—The rise and fall of the Ediacaran biota. Geological Society London Special Publication 286: 157–179.
- Fedonkin MA & Waggoner BM 1997. The late Precambrian fossil Kimberella is a mollusc–like bilaterian organism. Nature 388: 868–871.
- Gehling JG 2000. Environmental interpretation and a sequence stratigraphic framework for the terminal Proterozoic Ediacara Member within the Rawnsley Quartzite, South Australia. Precambrian Research 100: 65–95.
- Gehling JG & Droser ML 2018. Ediacaran scavenging as a prelude to predation. Emerging Topics in Life Sciences 2: 213–222.
- Gehling JG & Rigby JK 1996. Long expected sponges from the Neoproterozoic Ediacara fauna of South Australia. Journal of Paleontology 70: 185–195.
- Gehling JG, Runnegar BN & Droser ML 2014. Scratch traces of large Ediacaran bilaterian animals. Journal of Paleontology 88: 284–298.
- Girard F, Ghienne JF, Du–Bernard X & Rubino JL 2015. Sedimentary imprints of former ice–sheet margins: Insights from an end–Ordovician archive (SW Libya). Earth–Science Reviews 148: 259–289.
- Grazhdankin D 2004. Patterns of distribution in the Ediacaran biotas: facies versus biogeography and evolution. Paleobiology 30: 203–221.
- Grazhdankin D & Gerdes G 2007. Ediacaran microbial colonies. Lethaia 40: 201–210.
- Hamilton TD & Ashley GM 1993. Epiguruk: a late Quaternary environmental record from northwestern Alaska. Geological Society of America Bulletin 105: 583–602.
- Hammer S 2000. Meristem growth dynamics and branching patterns in the Cladoniaceae. American Journal of Botany 87: 33–47.
- Herschel JFW 1833. Notice of a remarkable deposition of ice around decaying stems of vegetables during frost. Philosophical Magazine 2: 110–111.
- Hillefors A 1976. Needle ice on dead and rotten branches. Weather 31: 163–168.
- Horváth Z, Michéli E, Mindszenty A & Berényi–Üveges J 2005. Soft sediment deformation structures in Late Miocene–Pleistocene sediments on the pediment of the Ma'tra Hills (Visonta, Atkár, Verseg): cryoturbation, load structures or seismites? Tectonophysics 410: 81–95.
- Hotaling S, Bartholomaus TC & Gilbert SL 2020. Rolling stones gather moss: Movement and longevity of moss balls on an Alaskan Glacier. Polar Biology 43: 735–744.
- Ivantsov AY 2011. Feeding traces of Proarticulata—the Vendian Metazoa. Paleontological Journal 45: 237–248.
- Ivantsov AY 2013. Trace fossils of Precambrian Metazoans "Vendobionta" and "mollusks". Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation 21: 252–264.
- Ivantsov AY & Malakhovskyaya YE 2002. Giant traces of Vendian animals. Doklady Earth Sciences 385A: 618–622.
- Ivantsov A, Zakrevskaya M, Nagovitsyn A, Krasnova A, Bobrovskiy I & Luzhnaya E 2020. Intravital damage to the body of *Dickinsonia* (Metazoa of the late Ediacaran). Journal of Paleontology 94: 1019–34.
- Jenkins RJ 1986. Are enigmatic markings in Adelaidean of Flinders Ranges fossil ice-tracks? Nature 323: 472.

- Jenkins RJF, Ford CH & Gehling JG 1983. The Ediacara Member of the Rawnsley Quartzite: the context of the Ediacara Assemblage (late Precambrian, Flinders Ranges). Geological Society of Australia Journal 30: 101–119.
- Johnsson G 1963. Periglacial phenomena in southern Sweden. Lund Studies in Geography 21: 378–403.
- Kessler MA & Werner BT 2003. Self–organization of sorted patterned ground. Science 299: 380–384.
- Lawler DM 1988. Environmental limits of needle ice: a global survey. Arctic and Alpine Research 20: 137–159.

Lawler DM 1989. Some observations on needle ice. Weather 44: 406-409.

- Lawler DM 1993. Needle ice processes and sediment mobilization on river banks of River Ilston, West Glamorgan, U.K. Journal of Hydrology 150: 81–114.
- Leonov MV, Ivantsov Y & Zakrevskaya MA 2007. Guidebook of the field paleontological excursion, Zimnie Gory, locality of the Vendian (Ediacaran) soft–bodied animals. Paleontological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 30 pp.
- Linnemann U, Pidal AP, Hofmann M, Drost K, Quesada C, Gerdes A, Marko L, Gärtner A, Zieger J, Ulrich J & Krause R 2017. A~ 565 Ma old glaciation in the Ediacaran of peri–Gondwanan West Africa. International Journal of Earth Sciences 107: 885–893.
- Liu AG & Dunn FS 2020. Filamentous connections between Ediacaran fronds. Current Biology 30: 1–7.
- Mark WD 1932. Fossil impressions of ice crystals in Lake Bonneville beds. Journal of Geology 40: 171–176.
- Mason BJ, Bryant GW & Van den Heuvel AP 1963. The growth habits and surface structure of ice crystals. Philosophical Magazine 8: 505–526.
- Matthews V 1999. Origin of horizontal needle ice at Charit Creek Station, Tennessee. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes 10: 205–207.
- McIlroy D, Brasier MD & Lang AS 2009. Smothering of microbial mats by macrobiota: implications for the Ediacara biota. Geological Society London Journal 166: 1117–1121.
- McMahon WJ, Liu AG, Tindal BH & Kleinhans MG 2020. Ediacaran life close to land: Coastal and shoreface habitats of the Ediacaran macrobiota, the Central Flinders Ranges, South Australia. Journal of Sedimentary Research 90: 1463–1499.
- Meng F, Ni P, Schiffbauer JD, Yuan X, Zhou C, Wang Y & Xia M 2011. Ediacaran seawater temperature: evidence from inclusions of Sinian halite. Precambrian Research 184: 63–69.
- Mitchell EG, Kenchington CG, Liu AG, Matthews JJ & Butterfield NJ 2015. Reconstructing the reproductive mode of an Ediacaran macro–organism. Nature 524: 343–346.
- Narbonne GM, Laflamme M, Trusler PW, Dalrymple RW & Greentree C 2014. Deep–water Ediacaran fossils from northwestern Canada: taphonomy, ecology and evolution. Journal of Paleontology 88: 207–223.
- Niessen FB, Spauwen PH, Schalkwijk J & Kon M 1999. On the nature of hypertrophic scars and keloids: a review. Plastic Reconstructive Surgery 104: 1435–1458.
- Nutz A, Ghienne JF & Štorch P 2013. Circular, cryogenic structures from the Hirnantian deglaciation sequence (Anti–Atlas, Morocco). Journal of Sedimentary Research 83: 115–131.
- Overduin PP & Kane KL 2006. Ice content and frost boils: field observations. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes 17: 291–307.
- Owen G 2003. Load structures; gravity–driven sediment mobilization in the shallow subsurface. *In*: van Rensbergen P, Hillis RR, Maltman AJ & Morley CK (Editors)—Subsurface Sediment Mobilization. Geological Society London. Special Publication 216: 21–34.
- Patton H & Hambrey MJ 2009. Ice-marginal sedimentation associated with the Late Devensian Welsh ice cap and Irish sea-ice stream: Tonfanau, Wales. Geologists Association Proceedings 120: 256–279.
- Pérez FL 1994. Vagant cryptogams in a paramo of the high Venezuelan Andes. Flora 189: 263–276.
- Pérez, FL 2020. Andean rolling mosses gather on stone pavements: Geoecology of *Grimmia longirostris* Hook. in a high periglacial páramo. Catena 187: 104389.
- Popov V, Iosifidi A & Khramov A 2002. Paleomagnetism of Upper Vendian

sediments from the Winter Coast, White Sea region, Russia: Implications for the paleogeography of Baltica during Neoproterozoic times. Journal of Geophysical Research 107: EPM 10.1–EPM 10.8.

- Pu JP, Bowring SA, Ramezani J, Myrow P, Raub TD, Landing E, Mills A, Hodgin E & Macdonald FA 2016. Dodging snowballs: Geochronology of the Gaskiers glaciation and the first appearance of the Ediacaran biota. Geology 44: 955–958.
- Retallack GJ 1986. Reappraisal of a 2200 Ma–old paleosol from near Waterval Onder, South Africa. Precambrian Research 32: 195–232.
- Retallack GJ 2007. Decay, growth, and burial compaction of *Dickinsonia*, an iconic Ediacaran fossil. Alcheringa 31: 215–240.
- Retallack GJ 2011. Neoproterozoic glacial loess and limits to snowball Earth. Geological Society London Journal 168: 289–308.
- Retallack GJ 2012a. Were Ediacaran siliciclastics of South Australia coastal or deep marine? Sedimentology 59: 1208–1236.
- Retallack GJ 2012b. Criteria for distinguishing microbial mats and earths. *In*: Noffke N & Chafetz H (Editors)—Microbial mats in siliciclastic depositional systems through time, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists Special Paper 101: 136–152.
- Retallack GJ 2013a. Ediacaran Gaskiers glaciation of Newfoundland reconsidered. Journal of the Geological Society 170: 19–36.
- Retallack GJ 2013b. Ediacaran life on land. Nature 493: 89-92.
- Retallack GJ 2013c. Comment on "Trace fossil evidence for Ediacaran bilaterian animals with complex behaviours" by Chen *et al.* [Precambrian Res. 224 (2013) 690–701]. Precambrian Research 231: 383–385.
- Retallack GJ 2015. Acritarch evidence of a late Precambrian adaptive radiation of Fungi. Botanica Pacifica 4: 19–33.
- Retallack GJ 2016. Ediacaran sedimentology and paleoecology of Newfoundland reconsidered. Sedimentary Geology 333: 15–31.
- Retallack GJ 2017a. Comment on: "Dickinsonia liftoff: evidence of current derived morphologies" by Evans SD, Droser ML & Gehling JG. Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 485: 999–1001.
- Retallack GJ 2017b. Exceptional preservation of soft-bodied Ediacara Biota promoted by silica-rich oceans: comment. Geology 44: e407.
- Retallack GJ 2019. Interflag sandstone laminae, a novel fluvial sedimentary structure with implication for Ediacaran paleoenvironments. Sedimentary Geology 379: 60–76.
- Retallack GJ 2020. Boron paleosalinity proxy for deeply buried Paleozoic and Ediacaran fossils. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 540, 109536.
- Retallack GJ, Gose B & Osterhout J 2015. Periglacial paleosols and Cryogenian paleoclimate near Adelaide, South Australia. Precambrian Research 263: 1–18.
- Retallack GJ, Marconato A, Osterhout JT, Watts KE & Bindeman IN 2014. Revised Wonoka isotopic anomaly in South Australia and Late Ediacaran mass extinction. Geological Society of London Proceedings 171: 709–722.
- Schmidt PW & Williams GE 2010. Ediacaran palaeomagnetism and apparent polar wander path for Australia: no large true polar wander. Geophysical Journal International 182: 711–726.
- Seilacher A 1992. Vendobionta and Psammocorallia: lost construction of Precambrian evolution. Geological Society of London Journal 149: 607–613.
- Seilacher A 2007. Trace Fossil Analysis. Springer, Berlin, 226 pp.
- Seilacher A, Buatois LA & Mángano MB 2005. Trace fossils in the Ediacaran– Cambrian transition: Behavioral diversification, ecological turnover and environmental shift. Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 227: 323–356.
- Shen C, Clarkson EN, Yang J, Lan T, Hou JB & Zhang XG 2014. Development and trunk segmentation of early instars of a ptychopariid trilobite from Cambrian Stage 5 of China. Nature Scientific Reports 4: 6970.
- Serezhnikova EI 2007. Palaeophragmodictya spinosa sp. nov., a bilateral benthic organism from the Vendian of the southeast White Sea region. Paleontological Journal 41: 360–369.

- Silberbauer–Gottsberger I, Morawetz W & Gottsberger G 1977. Frost damage of cerrado plants in Botucatu, Brazil, as related to the geographical distribution of the species. Biotropica 9: 253–261.
- Sperling EA & Vinther J 2010. A placozoan affinity for *Dickinsonia* and the evolution of late Proterozoic metazoan feeding modes. Evolution and Development 12: 201–209.
- Stimson MR, Miller RF, MacRae RA & Hinds SJ 2017. An ichnotaxonomic approach to wrinkled microbially induced sedimentary structures. Ichnos 24: 291–316.
- Sugimoto K, Gordon SP & Meyerowitz EM 2011. Regeneration in plants and animals: dedifferentiation, transdifferentiation, or just differentiation? Trends in Cell Biology 21: 212–218.
- Tahata M, Ueno Y, Ishikawa T, Sawaki Y, Murakami K, Han J, Shu D, Li Y, Guo J, Yoshida N & Komiya T 2013. Carbon and oxygen chemostratigraphy of the Yangtze platform, South China: decoding temperature and environmental changes through the Ediacaran. Gondwana Research 23: 333–353.
- Talbot MR 1981. The Early Paleozoic (?) diamictites of southeast Ghana. *In*: Harland MJ & Hambrey WB (Editors)—Earth's pre–Pleistocene glacial record. Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 108–112.
- Tarhan LG, Droser ML, Gehling JG & Dzaugis MP 2015. Taphonomy and morphology of the Ediacara form genus *Aspidella*. Precambrian Research 257: 124–136.
- Tarhan LG, Hood AV, Droser ML, Gehling JG & Briggs DE 2016. Exceptional preservation of soft–bodied Ediacara Biota promoted by silica–rich oceans. Geology 44: 951–954.
- Tarhan LG, Droser ML, Gehling JG & Dzaugis MP 2017. Microbial mat sandwiches and other anacutalistic sedimentary features of the Ediacara Member (Rawnsley Quartzite, South Australia: implications for interpretation of the Ediacaran sedimentary record. Palaios 32: 181–194.
- Valcárcel–Díaz M, Carrera–Gómez P, Goronato A, Castillo–Rodríguez F, Rabassa J & Pérez–Alberti R 2006. Cryogenic landforms in the Sierras de Alvear, Fuegian Andes, Argentina. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes 17: 317–376.
- Vernhet E, Youbi N, Chellai EH, Villeneuve M & El Archi A 2012. The Bou– Azzer glaciation: evidence for an Ediacaran glaciation on the west African craton (Anti–Atlas, Morocco). Precambrian Research 196: 106–112.
- Vopata J, Aber JS & Kalm V 2006. Patterned ground in the Culebra Range, southern Colorado. Emporia State Research Studies 43: 8–21.
- Wagner G & Mätzler C 2008. Haareis auf morschem Laubholz als biophysikalisches Phänomen. Forschungsbericht Universität Bern 2008–05–MW, 1–31.
- Walker DA, Kuss P, Epstein HE, Kade AN, Vonlanthen CM, Raynolds MK & Daniels FJA 2011. Arctic patterned–ground ecosystems: a synthesis of field studies and models along a North American Arctic transect. Applied Vegetation Science 14: 440–463.
- Wang Z, Wang J, Suess E, Wang G, Chen C & Xiao S 2017. Silicified glendonites in the Ediacaran Doushantou Formation (South China) and their potential paleoclimatic implications. Geology 45: 115–118.
- Weinberger R 2001. Evolution of polygonal patterns in stratified mud during desiccation: the role of flaw distribution and layer boundaries. Geological Society of America Bulletin 113: 20–31.
- Wheeler RL 2002. Distinguishing seismic from no-seismic soft-sediment structures: criteria from seismic-hazard analysis. *In*: Ettensohn FR, Rast N & Brett CE (Editors)–Ancient Seismites. Geological Society of America Special Paper 359: 1–11.
- Williams GE 1986. Precambrian permafrost horizons as indicators of paleoclimate. Precambrian Research 32: 233–242.
- Yuan X, Xiao S & Taylor TN 2005. Lichen–like symbiosis 600 million years ago. Science 308: 1017–1020.
- Zakrevskaya M 2014. Paleoecological reconstruction of the Ediacaran benthic macroscopic communities of the White Sea (Russia). Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 410: 27–38.

| Table 1—Diameters and grain size of fossil and modern frost boils. |
|--|
|--|

| Specimen  | Location                       | Formation                  | m grain size | m diameter |                             |
|-----------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| P14359    | Ediacara Hills                 | Ediacara Member            | 0.00006      | 0.16       | Retallack 2007              |
| P14359    | Ediacara Hills                 | Ediacara Member            | 0.00006      | 0.18       | Retallack 2007              |
| 44–686 KP | Zimni Gory                     | Yorgia Bed, Erga Formation | 0.00001      | 0.44       | Ivantsov 2011               |
| 44–686 KP | Zimni Gory                     | Yorgia Bed, Erga Formation | 0.00001      | 0.38       | Ivantsov 2011               |
| 44–686 KP | Zimni Gory                     | Yorgia Bed, Erga Formation | 0.00001      | 0.48       | Ivantsov 2011               |
| 44–686 KP | Zimni Gory                     | Yorgia Bed, Erga Formation | 0.00001      | 0.37       | Ivantsov 2011               |
| 44–686 KP | Zimni Gory                     | Yorgia Bed, Erga Formation | 0.00001      | 0.43       | Ivantsov 2011               |
|           | Kvadehuksletta, Spitsbergen    | surface soil               | 0.04         | 1.1        | Kessler & Werner, 2003      |
|           | Kvadehuksletta, Spitsbergen    | surface soil               | 0.04         | 1.7        | Kessler & Werner, 2003      |
|           | Kvadehuksletta, Spitsbergen    | surface soil               | 0.04         | 1.05       | Kessler & Werner, 2003      |
|           | Kvadehuksletta, Spitsbergen    | surface soil               | 0.04         | 0.95       | Kessler & Werner, 2003      |
|           | Kvadehuksletta, Spitsbergen    | surface soil               | 0.04         | 0.8        | Kessler & Werner, 2003      |
|           | Kvadehuksletta, Spitsbergen    | surface soil               | 0.04         | 1.3        | Kessler & Werner, 2003      |
|           | Kvadehuksletta, Spitsbergen    | surface soil               | 0.04         | 0.85       | Kessler & Werner, 2003      |
|           | Denali highway Alaska          | surface soil               | 0.04         | 1.6        | Kessler & Werner, 2003      |
|           |                                | surface soil               |              |            |                             |
|           | Denali highway Alaska          | surface soil               | 0.09         | 1.67       | Kessler & Werner, 2003      |
|           | Denali highway Alaska          | surface soil               | 0.09         | 1.1        | Kessler & Werner, 2003      |
|           | Denali highway Alaska          |                            | 0.09         | 1.7        | Kessler & Werner, 2003      |
|           | Denali highway Alaska          | surface soil               | 0.09         | 1.43       | Kessler & Werner, 2003      |
|           | Denali highway Alaska          | surface soil               | 0.09         | 1.15       | Kessler & Werner, 2003      |
|           | Howe Island, Alaska            | surface soil               | 0.00008      | 0.62       | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
|           | Howe Island, Alaska            | surface soil               | 0.00008      | 0.32       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Howe Island, Alaska            | surface soil               | 0.00008      | 0.43       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Howe Island, Alaska            | surface soil               | 0.00008      | 0.46       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Howe Island, Alaska            | surface soil               | 0.00008      | 0.37       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Howe Island, Alaska            | surface soil               | 0.00008      | 0.27       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Trinchera Peak, Colorado       | surface soil               | 0.55         | 3.1        | Vopata et al., 2006         |
|           | Trinchera Peak, Colorado       | surface soil               | 0.55         | 2.9        | Vopata et al., 2006         |
|           | Trinchera Peak, Colorado       | surface soil               | 0.55         | 2.3        | Vopata et al., 2006         |
|           | Trinchera Peak, Colorado       | surface soil               | 0.55         | 3.8        | Vopata et al., 2006         |
|           | Trinchera Peak, Colorado       | surface soil               | 0.55         | 4.7        | Vopata et al., 2006         |
|           | Trinchera Peak, Colorado       | surface soil               | 0.55         | 5.8        | Vopata et al., 2006         |
|           | Trinchera Peak, Colorado       | surface soil               | 0.55         | 2          | Vopata et al., 2006         |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.55       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.44       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.36       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.17       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.57       | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.52       | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.56       | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.33       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.35       | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.35       | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.48       | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               |              |            |                             |
|           |                                |                            | 0.000003     | 0.49       | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.82       | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.41       | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.45       | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.22       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.45       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.32       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.29       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.26       | Walker et al., 2011         |
|           | Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island | surface soil               | 0.000003     | 0.34       | Walker et al., 2011         |

Isachsen, Ellef Ringnes Island Green Cabin, Banks Island

surface soil surface soil

| 0.000003 | 0.46 | Walker et al., 2011         |
|----------|------|-----------------------------|
| 0.000003 | 0.42 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.31 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.28 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.24 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.41 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.34 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.46 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.43 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.33 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.24 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.68 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.11 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.32 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.31 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.29 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.29 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.27 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.22 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.22 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.24 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.12 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.31 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.18 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.51 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.16 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.31 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.43 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.09 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.52 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.31 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.3  | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.28 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.13 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.44 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.18 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.28 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.19 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.32 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.28 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.37 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.27 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.35 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.000003 | 0.55 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.00008  | 0.12 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.00008  | 0.15 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.00008  | 0.13 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.00008  | 0.24 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.00008  | 0.44 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.00008  | 0.13 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.00008  | 0.44 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| 0.00008  | 0.28 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
| 0.00008  | 0.32 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
| 0.00008  | 0.22 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
| 0.00008  | 0.31 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
| 0.00008  | 0.39 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
| 0.00008  | 0.38 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
|          |      |                             |

| Green Cabin, Banks Island |
|---------------------------|
| Green Cabin, Banks Island |
|                           |

surface soil surface soil

| 0.00008 | 0.42 | Walker et al., 2011  |
|---------|------|--|
| 0.00008 | 0.15 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.12 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.38 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.29 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.33 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.32 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.25 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.13 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.24 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.46 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.37 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.33 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.31 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.26 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.32 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.32 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.45 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.43 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.22 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.54 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.54 | · · · · · ·  |
| 0.00008 |      | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
|         | 0.43 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.61 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.47 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.43 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.71 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.51 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.52 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.17 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.22 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.19 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.23 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.51 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.23 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.31 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.39 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.28 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.42 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.44 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.43 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.37 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.41 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.33 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.19 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.35 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.37 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.38 | Walker et al., 2011  |
| 0.00008 | 0.19 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.22 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.44 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.44 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.48 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011<br>Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
|         |      | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011<br>Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
| 0.00008 | 0.47 |  |
| 0.00008 | 0.54 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.12 | Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2011                                |
| 0.00008 | 0.48 | Walker et al., 2011  |

| Green Cabin, Banks Island  | surface soil | 0.00008  | 0.1  | Walker et al., 2011         |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------|------|-----------------------------|
| Green Cabin, Banks Island  | surface soil | 0.00008  | 0.32 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| Green Cabin, Banks Island  | surface soil | 0.00008  | 0.38 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| Green Cabin, Banks Island  | surface soil | 0.00008  | 0.46 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| Green Cabin, Banks Island  | surface soil | 0.00008  | 0.57 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| Green Cabin, Banks Island  | surface soil | 0.00008  | 0.32 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| Green Cabin, Banks Island  | surface soil | 0.00008  | 0.36 | Walker et al., 2011         |
| Monte Alvear, Argentina    | surface soil | 0.25     | 5.4  | Valcarcel-Diaz et al., 2006 |
| Monte Alvear, Argentina    | surface soil | 0.25     | 1.2  | Valcarcel-Diaz et al., 2006 |
| Monte Alvear, Argentina    | surface soil | 0.25     | 2.2  | Valcarcel-Diaz et al., 2006 |
| Monte Alvear, Argentina    | surface soil | 0.25     | 1.1  | Valcarcel-Diaz et al., 2006 |
| Monte Alvear, Argentina    | surface soil | 0.25     | 1.4  | Valcarcel-Diaz et al., 2006 |
| Monte Alvear, Argentina    | surface soil | 0.25     | 4.8  | Valcarcel-Diaz et al., 2006 |
| Galbraith Lake, Alaska     | surface soil | 0.000004 | 0.84 | Overduin & Kane, 2006       |
| Galbraith Lake, Alaska     | surface soil | 0.000004 | 0.4  | Overduin & Kane, 2006       |
| Galbraith Lake, Alaska     | surface soil | 0.000004 | 0.3  | Overduin & Kane, 2006       |
| Galbraith Lake, Alaska     | surface soil | 0.000004 | 0.9  | Overduin & Kane, 2006       |
| Galbraith Lake, Alaska     | surface soil | 0.000004 | 0.8  | Overduin & Kane, 2006       |
| Galbraith Lake, Alaska     | surface soil | 0.000004 | 0.5  | Overduin & Kane, 2006       |
| Galbraith Lake, Alaska     | surface soil | 0.000004 | 0.2  | Overduin & Kane, 2006       |
| Galbraith Lake, Alaska     | surface soil | 0.000004 | 0.35 | Overduin & Kane, 2006       |
| Galbraith Lake, Alaska     | surface soil | 0.000004 | 0.4  | Overduin & Kane, 2006       |
| Galbraith Lake, Alaska     | surface soil | 0.000004 | 0.6  | Overduin & Kane, 2006       |
| Leihagen Hill, Spitsbergen | surface soil | 0.01     | 0.82 | Boike et al., 2007          |
| Leihagen Hill, Spitsbergen | surface soil | 0.01     | 0.57 | Boike et al., 2007          |
| Leihagen Hill, Spitsbergen | surface soil | 0.01     | 0.62 | Boike et al., 2007          |
| Leihagen Hill, Spitsbergen | surface soil | 0.01     | 0.72 | Boike et al., 2007          |

Table 2-Length and width of "Kimberichnus" and ice needles and molluscan scrapings.

| Location               | Age    | length mm | width mm | Reference            |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------------|
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.2       | 0.4      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.3       | 0.5      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.6       | 0.7      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.1       | 0.5      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 2.1       | 0.6      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.9       | 0.4      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.3       | 0.4      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.2       | 0.6      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.7       | 0.4      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.6       | 0.4      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.5       | 0.3      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.8       | 0.5      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 2.8       | 0.5      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 2.1       | 0.4      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 2         | 0.3      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 2.3       | 0.4      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 2.4       | 0.3      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 2.3       | 0.3      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.5       | 0.4      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.7       | 0.6      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 2.1       | 0.3      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.2       | 0.35     | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.4       | 0.25     | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.8       | 0.45     | Dornbos et al., 2004 |
| Point Loma, California | modern | 1.6       | 0.5      | Dornbos et al., 2004 |

| Point Loma, California |
|------------------------|
| Point Loma, California |
|                        |
| Point Loma, California |
|                        |
| Point Loma, California |
|                        |

| modern | 1.4  | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
|--------|------|------|------------------------------|
| modern | 1.5  | 0.6  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.3  | 0.35 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.6  | 0.55 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.9  | 0.5  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 2    | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.8  | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.8  | 0.45 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.2  | 0.25 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.6  | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 2.4  | 0.65 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 2.1  | 0.45 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 2.2  | 0.6  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.6  | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.9  | 0.35 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.9  | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.2  | 0.6  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 0.8  | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.3  | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.8  | 0.6  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.9  | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.55 | 0.35 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.8  | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.9  | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 2.1  | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.8  | 0.35 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.4  | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 2.6  | 0.6  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 2.4  | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.5  | 0.45 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.9  | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 2.3  | 0.55 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 3.9  | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 2.7  | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.6  | 0.35 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 2.2  | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 3.4  | 0.35 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.8  | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.7  | 0.5  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.7  | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.9  | 0.35 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 2    | 0.6  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.9  | 0.5  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 2.3  | 0.6  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 2.7  | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 1.9  | 0.6  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 3.3  | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| modern | 3.2  | 0.35 | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| modern | 2    | 0.3  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| modern | 1.6  | 0.7  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| modern | 1.4  | 0.25 | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| modern | 1.8  | 0.20 | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| modern | 1.8  | 0.3  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| modern | 2    | 0.35 | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| modern | 2.2  | 0.6  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| modern | 2.1  | 0.5  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| modern | 1.7  | 0.4  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
|        | -    |      |                              |
|        |      |      |                              |

|  | 1      | 1.0 | 0.25 | D 1 / 2004                   |
|--|--------|-----|------|------------------------------|
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.8 | 0.35 | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2   | 0.4  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.7 | 0.5  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2.1 | 0.4  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2.2 | 0.6  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.9 | 0.3  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.3 | 0.5  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2.2 | 0.45 | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2.4 | 0.35 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.6 | 0.5  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 0.9 | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.8 | 0.35 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.7 | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.8 | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2.2 | 0.65 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.9 | 0.5  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.7 | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.8 | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.8 | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.8 | 0.35 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.2 | 0.5  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.5 | 0.6  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.2 | 0.5  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.1 | 0.35 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2.1 | 0.7  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.9 | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2   | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2.1 | 0.6  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.8 | 0.7  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.8 | 0.3  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.9 | 0.25 | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.8 | 0.3  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.8 | 0.35 | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.9 | 0.4  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2   | 0.45 | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.7 | 0.45 | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.8 | 0.45 | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.2 | 0.5  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2.4 | 0.3  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 3.2 | 0.45 | ,                            |
|  |        |     |      | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California<br>Point Loma, California | modern | 2.7 | 0.6  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| ,  | modern | 1.1 | 0.5  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2.4 | 0.55 | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2.2 | 0.5  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.8 | 0.5  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.2 | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.5 | 0.45 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2   | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2.1 | 0.3  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2.2 | 0.4  | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2.3 | 0.5  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 2.2 | 0.6  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.3 | 0.65 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.1 | 0.6  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.2 | 0.5  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.3 | 0.4  | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California                           | modern | 1.3 | 0.45 | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
|  |        |     |      |                              |

22

|                            |          |      | 0 <b>-</b> | D 1 1 0001                   |
|----------------------------|----------|------|------------|------------------------------|
| Point Loma, California     | modern   | 1.2  | 0.5        | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California     | modern   | 1.8  | 0.4        | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California     | modern   | 1.8  | 0.7        | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California     | modern   | 1.8  | 0.6        | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California     | modern   | 1.9  | 0.6        | Dornbos <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| Point Loma, California     | modern   | 2.2  | 0.5        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Point Loma, California     | modern   | 1.6  | 0.5        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Sanjia, China              | Cambrian | 6.2  | 3.5        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Sanjia, China              | Cambrian | 6.3  | 2.7        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Sanjia, China              | Cambrian | 9.2  | 2.2        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Sanjia, China              | Cambrian | 11.2 | 2.6        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Sanjia, China              | Cambrian | 6.1  | 1.8        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Sanjia, China              | Cambrian | 12.4 | 1.8        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Sanjia, China              | Cambrian | 11.5 | 3.1        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Sanjia, China              | Cambrian | 12.6 | 1.8        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Sanjia, China              | Cambrian | 11.5 | 1.6        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Sanjia, China              | Cambrian | 12.1 | 2.1        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Sanjia, China              | Cambrian | 8.7  | 2.3        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Sanjia, China              | Cambrian | 12.1 | 1.9        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Sanjia, China              | Cambrian | 8.5  | 1.5        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Sanjia, China              | Cambrian | 11.3 | 2.2        | Dornbos et al., 2004         |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 24.9 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 29.0 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 27.6 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 23.5 | 0.7        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 22.1 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 20.7 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 9.7  | 0.4        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 15.2 | 0.4        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 13.8 | 0.4        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 16.6 | 0.7        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 13.8 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 18.0 | 0.4        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 12.4 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 24.9 | 0.7        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 23.5 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 29.0 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 30.4 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 29.0 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 29.0 | 0.7        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 29.0 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 27.6 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 29.0 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 16.6 | 0.7        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 33.1 | 0.7        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 34.5 | 0.7        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 35.9 | 0.7        | herein                       |
| -                          | modern   | 37.3 | 0.8        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska |          |      |            |                              |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 31.8 | 0.4        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 31.8 | 0.4        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 30.4 | 0.7        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 29.0 | 0.6        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 22.1 | 0.7        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 15.2 | 0.3        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 13.8 | 0.4        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 12.4 | 0.4        | herein                       |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern   | 12.4 | 0.6        | herein                       |
|                            |          |      |            |                              |

| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 11.0 | 0.7 | herein                        |
|--|---------------------|------|-----|-------------------------------|
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 16.6 | 0.7 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 22.1 | 0.8 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 20.7 | 0.7 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 20.7 | 0.6 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 19.3 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 18.0 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 18.0 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 18.0 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 15.2 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 19.3 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 20.7 | 0.6 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 22.1 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 19.3 | 0.3 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 12.4 | 0.8 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 18.0 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 16.6 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 15.2 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 19.3 | 0.6 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 20.7 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 20.7 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 22.1 | 0.6 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 18.0 | 0.7 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 20.7 | 0.6 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 18.0 | 0.6 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 19.3 | 0.6 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 20.7 | 0.7 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 19.3 | 0.6 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 19.3 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 20.7 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 20.7 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 18.0 | 0.6 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 19.3 | 0.6 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 22.1 | 0.6 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 20.7 | 0.7 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 23.5 | 0.6 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 22.1 | 0.4 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 20.7 | 0.6 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 15.2 | 0.7 | herein                        |
| -  | modern              | 9.7  | 0.7 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska<br>Chugach State Park, Alaska | modern              | 11.0 | 0.7 |                               |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 12.4 | 0.6 | herein<br>herein              |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               | modern              | 11.0 | 0.6 | herein                        |
| Chugach State Park, Alaska                               |                     |      |     |                               |
| 6  | modern<br>Ediacaran | 9.7  | 0.4 | herein<br>Cabling at al. 2014 |
| Mt Scott, South Australia                                |                     | 19   | 0.9 | Gehling et al., 2014          |
| Mt Scott, South Australia                                | Ediacaran           | 17   | 0.7 | Gehling <i>et al.</i> , 2014  |
| Mt Scott, South Australia                                | Ediacaran           | 11   | 0.6 | Gehling <i>et al.</i> , 2014  |
| Mt Scott, South Australia                                | Ediacaran           | 14   | 1   | Gehling et al., 2014          |
| Mt Scott, South Australia                                | Ediacaran           | 11   | 0.9 | Gehling et al., 2014          |
| Mt Scott, South Australia                                | Ediacaran           | 19   | 0.8 | Gehling <i>et al.</i> , 2014  |
| Mt Scott, South Australia                                | Ediacaran           | 31   | 0.7 | Gehling et al., 2014          |
| Mt Scott, South Australia                                | Ediacaran           | 17   | 0.8 | Gehling et al., 2014          |
| Mt Scott, South Australia                                | Ediacaran           | 29   | 0.9 | Gehling et al., 2014          |
| Mt Scott, South Australia                                | Ediacaran           | 19   | 0.7 | Gehling et al., 2014          |
| Mt Scott, South Australia                                | Ediacaran           | 18   | 0.9 | Gehling et al., 2014          |
| Mt Scott, South Australia                                | Ediacaran           | 18   | 0.8 | Gehling et al., 2014          |
| Mt Scott, South Australia                                | Ediacaran           | 19   | 0.9 | Gehling et al., 2014          |
|  |                     |      |     |                               |

| Mt Scott, South Australia      | E      |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Mt Scott, South Australia      | E      |
| Mt Scott, South Australia      |        |
| ,                              | E      |
| Mt Scott, South Australia      | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | г<br>Е |
|                                |        |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | E      |
|                                |        |

| Ediacaran | 17  | 0.6 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
|-----------|-----|-----|------------------------------|--|--|
| Ediacaran | 13  | 0.8 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 21  | 1   | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 19  | 0.9 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 12  | 0.7 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 13  | 0.6 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 18  | 0.9 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 12  | 0.6 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 10  | 0.6 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 13  | 0.8 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 9   | 0.7 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 10  | 0.8 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 26  | 1.1 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 22  | 1   | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 27  | 1.1 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 17  | 0.9 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 18  | 0.8 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 11  | 0.7 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 21  | 0.8 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 31  | 1.1 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 20  | 1   | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 26  | 0.8 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 9   | 0.6 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 6.2 | 2.2 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 9   | 4.2 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 8.3 | 4.6 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 9   | 3.2 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 7.3 | 3   | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 6.3 | 4.2 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 9   | 4   | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 9.8 | 4.1 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 12  | 3.2 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 11  | 3.7 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 10  | 3.8 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 6   | 4   | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 12  | 4   | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 7   | 4   | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 12  | 3.5 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 8.8 | 4.1 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 13  | 5   | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 6   | 2.4 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 6.5 | 2.6 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 9   | 3.5 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 6.2 | 2.8 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 10  | 2.8 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 4.8 | 3   | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 7.5 | 3.2 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 7   | 3   | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 7.4 | 3.5 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 8.2 | 2.8 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 8.8 | 2.5 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 10  | 2.8 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 11  | 3.3 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 13  | 3.8 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 5.2 | 3.5 | Gehling <i>et al.</i> , 2014 |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 6.3 | 3.2 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
| Ediacaran | 8.9 | 3.3 | Gehling et al., 2014         |  |  |
|           |     |     | J,                           |  |  |

| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | Ediacaran | 14   | 5.7 | Gehling et al., 2014 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|------|-----|----------------------|
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | Ediacaran | 15   | 6.2 | Gehling et al., 2014 |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | Ediacaran | 10   | 3.6 | Gehling et al., 2014 |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | Ediacaran | 11   | 4   | Gehling et al., 2014 |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | Ediacaran | 12   | 3.7 | Gehling et al., 2014 |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | Ediacaran | 9.9  | 3.3 | Gehling et al., 2014 |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | Ediacaran | 10.1 | 4   | Gehling et al., 2014 |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | Ediacaran | 9.8  | 4.3 | Gehling et al., 2014 |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | Ediacaran | 11.3 | 4.2 | Gehling et al., 2014 |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | Ediacaran | 11   | 5   | Gehling et al., 2014 |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | Ediacaran | 11.3 | 3.5 | Gehling et al., 2014 |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | Ediacaran | 12   | 3.3 | Gehling et al., 2014 |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | Ediacaran | 7    | 4.5 | Gehling et al., 2014 |
| Bathtub Gorge, South Australia | Ediacaran | 11   | 4.2 | Gehling et al., 2014 |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 10.5 | 2.7 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 11   | 2   | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 10   | 1.9 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 7    | 1.9 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 6.5  | 1.7 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 6.2  | 1.9 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 6.5  | 2.1 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 9.5  | 1.8 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 10.2 | 1.6 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 8.6  | 2.1 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 7.1  | 1.8 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 5.5  | 2   | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 7.8  | 1.8 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 7.1  | 1.7 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 6.6  | 1.6 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 7.3  | 2.2 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 9.6  | 1.5 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 10.2 | 2   | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 10.7 | 1.4 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 11   | 1.7 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 12.7 | 1.9 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 12.4 | 2.3 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 10.8 | 1.5 | herein               |
| Beckenridge, Colorado          | modern    | 6.1  | 1.6 | herein               |

26