RESOLUTION NO. 16 -09

A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING AN UPDATED SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT CHARGE FOR PARK IMPROVEMENTS.

WHEREAS, the City of Aumsville Systems Development Ordinance, Ordinance No. 387 provides for the setting of systems development charges upon completion of an analysis of projected capital improvements to be constructed and adoption of a methodology explaining how the systems development fees were calculated; and

WHEREAS, the City of Aumsville has enacted Ordinance 387, which specifies that such charges shall be set by a separate resolution of the City Council, and

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF AUMSVILLE HEREBY RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. IMPOSITION OF SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT CHARGES

This resolution shall establish the most current methodology, and it replaces the methodology set forth in Resolution 19-06; and shall be the basis for imposing a systems development charge (SDC) on those activities that create the need for or increase the demand for necessary capital improvements within the city parks system within the City of Aumsville, Oregon.

SECTION 2. SCOPE

The charge imposed by this resolution is separate from and in addition to any applicable taxes, fees, assessments, charges, including but not limited to other systems development charges, which may be required by the City of Aumsville or imposed as a condition of a land use or development approval.

SECTION 3. REIMBURSEMENT FEE & IMPROVEMENT FEE

The SDC imposed by this Resolution is both a reimbursement fee and an improvement fee and is not a tax on the land.

SECTION 4. METHODOLOGY

The City Council of Aumsville hereby adopts the following methodology as the basis for setting the systems development fee imposed by this resolution as authorized by Ordinance 387.

A. The October, 2001 "Parks Facilities Master Plan" (David W. Kinney, Community Development Consultant, Stayton, October, 2001"; the "August 2009 Parks Facility Project List Update" by David W. Kinney, Community Development Consultant, attached as Exhibits A, B, C, D and E of this Resolution; the City of Aumsville annual reports on SDC Expenditures; the "Aumsville Comprehensive Plan" (Ordinance 465, dated December, 1999); and the Aumsville Development

Ordinance (Ordinance 323, dated June 10, 1986, as amended) shall be considered the primary source documents upon which the charges imposed under this resolution are promulgated and constitute the improvement plan described in the Systems Development Charge ordinance.

B. The City of Aumsville currently owns and maintains a municipal parks system. The system has been operated by the city for many years. The parks system consists of two community parks (Porter-Boone/Boone Docks and Mill Creek Park) and four neighborhood or mini-parks (Highberger, Panther, Water Tower and Wildwood Park) totaling approximately 15 acres of partially developed parkland to serve residents of Aumsville and the surrounding rural area.

The Parks Master Plan recommends the city provide a level of service of 5.0 acres of developed urban parks per 1,000 people composed of 2.5 acres of community parks and 2.5 acres of neighborhood/mini-parks per 1,000 people. It is the city's policy to maintain this ratio as the community grows.

In 2009 the City assumes new development will account for 44% of the demand on the new parks facilities by the year 2029. This was based on the existing ratio of existing population (dwelling units) to new population (dwelling units), who will share and benefit from city park facilities.

Both residents and non-residential uses benefit from the existing system. Planned improvements to the existing parks add capacity to accommodate new residents and users. The City Council believes new development is obligated to contribute to the cost of improving and expanding the park system in order to maintain the ratio of parks acreage per 1000 people.

Therefore, the city council concludes that new residents and non-residential users should pay its proportionate share of the cost of expanding the existing parks and in developing new parks and park facilities, based on the proportionate benefit each proposed improvement will give to the new users.

- C. The City of Aumsville "Parks Facilities Master Plan", updated in October, 2001 establishes standards and guidelines for the development of public parks and recreational facilities in the city. The plan was designed to support the projected year 2015 population as updated by the Marion County Growth Management Study, completed in 2000. The "Parks Facilities Master Plan" and the "Parks Facility Project List 2008" list the projected park and recreation needs required to meet the demands of new growth in the City of Aumsville through 2040.
- D. The estimated cost of future parks capital improvements benefitting the City of Aumsville are listed in the Parks Master Plan and Exhibit "B" of this Resolution. The total estimated cost of these park improvements is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1
Planned Park Improvement Projects
Eligible for Improvement Fee

	Proposed	Project
	Park System Improvements	Cost
Con	nmunity Parks	
1	Eastside Community Park	3,075,288
2	Mill Creek Park	1,194,569
3	Porter-Boone & Boone-docks Parks	860,051
Neig	hborhood Parks, Greenways and Mini-Parks	
4	Eastside Neighborhood Park (Flowers)	567,464
5	Highberger Park & Greenway	343,588
6	NW Neighborhood Park (Proposed)	427,326
7	Panther Park	0
8	Water Tower Park	0
9	Wildwood Park	694,565
Upd	ate of Parks Master Plan (2008 & 2013)	
1	Park Master Plan Updates	70,000
	Improvement Fee Total	\$7,232,851

E. A total of \$720,979 in park improvement projects were completed by the City of Aumsville between 2001 and 2009. The complete list of completed park improvements is attached as Exhibit "D". The projects are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2
Completed Park Improvement Projects
2001 to 2007
Eligible for Reimbursement Fee

	Completed Aumsville Park Improvements	Project Cost
Con	nmunity Parks	
1	Mill Creek Skate park & Restrooms	236,830
2	Mill Creek Park Site Improvements	155,937
3	Porter-Boone Facilities	198,143
4	Porter-Boone Site Improvements	43,467
Neig	ghborhood Parks, Greenways & Mini-Parks	
5	Highberger Park	41,879
6	Panther Park	3,943
7	Water Tower Park	13,673
8	Wildwood Park	2,351
9	Eastside Park – Park Design & Planning	10,391
	Parks SDC Administrative Costs	6,268
	Park Improvements Eligible for Reimbursement Fee	720,979

- F. The Aumsville City Council has determined that the cost of acquiring and developing open space and park facilities should be borne by both residential and non-residential uses. Approximately 92% of the city's water users are residential users. The city projects future growth will continue to be primarily residential, comprising more than 90% of the new development. Non-residential development, composed of commercial, industrial and public uses, is projected to comprise 8%-10% of the new development activity. The city believes this development pattern will continue. Therefore, the city council concludes that 92% of the costs for future park improvements should be allocated to new residential uses and 8% to new non-residential uses.
- G. According to the 2000 Census, Aumsville's population was 3003 persons in 1024 households, with an average household size of 2.93 persons per household. PSU's Center for Population Research updated the city's population estimate in July 2008 to 3595 persons. PSU's revised estimate is based on a slightly smaller average household size. Future household size is expected to decline over time and is anticipated to be 2.80 persons per household.

In 1998 Marion County assigned the City a 2.53% annual growth rate as part of a coordinated growth management planning program for Marion County. Based on this growth rate, Aumsville's population will reach 6,273 by 2029 and 8,257 by 2040. The City projects 1,021 new dwelling units will be constructed by the year 2029 and 1730 by 2040. The council concludes that these new dwelling units should share in the cost of the needed park improvements

Table 3

Population & Needed Dwelling Units

	Population	Average Household Size	# of Dwelling Units	Needed Dwelling Units
2000 Census	3003	2.93	1024	
2008 PSU Estimate	3535	2.90	1219	
2010 Marion County Estimate	3902	2.90	1346	127
2029 Marion County Estimate	6273	2.80	2240	1021
2040 Marion County Estimate	8257	2.80	2949	1730

SECTION 5. RESIDENTIAL PARKS SDC CALCULATION

A. Park improvements that will benefit new residents are estimated to cost \$5,236,268. The methodology to determine the maximum parks improvement fee per equivalent dwelling unit is shown in Exhibit "A". The maximum residential parks improvement fee the city may charge is \$3,397 per equivalent dwelling unit.

B. New development is also expected to pay its fair share of completed park improvements. The methodology to determine the maximum parks reimbursement fee per equivalent dwelling unit is shown in Exhibit "D". The maximum residential parks reimbursement fee the city may charge is \$205 per equivalent dwelling unit.

Table 4
Maximum
Residential Improvement Fee
& Reimbursement Fee

Residential SDC per Equivalent Dwelling Unit (EDU)	Project Cost
Improvement Fee Share	3,397
Reimbursement Fee Share	205
Maximum Residential Parks SDC per EDU	\$ 3,602

SECTION 6. NON-RESIDENTIAL PARKS SDC CALCULATION

- A. Non-residential development is anticipated to account for 8% of the future demand for new parks facilities. In order to equitably charge for this impact, non-residential development can reasonably be charged on a cost per square foot basis. The City estimates there will be 500,000 square feet of total non-residential structures by the year 2028, of which 40% currently exists (200,000 sq. ft.). The new 300,000 square feet of non-residential development will account for a demand on the parks facilities estimated at a cost of \$388,451. The allocation per square foot is \$1.40 per sq. ft.
- C. New non-residential is also expected to pay its fair share of completed park improvements. The methodology to determine the maximum parks reimbursement fee per equivalent dwelling unit is shown in Exhibit "D". The maximum residential parks reimbursement fee the city may charge is \$0.12 per square foot.

Table 5
Maximum Non-Residential
Parks Improvement Fee & Reimbursement Fee

Non-Residential SDC per sq. foot basis	Project Cost
Improvement Fee Share	1.40
Reimbursement Fee Share	0.12
Maximum Non-Residential Parks SDC Fee per square foot	1.52

SECTION 7. FEE

A. According to the methodology described above and as shown in the attached Exhibits, the maximum Parks systems development charge the City of Aumsville may impose is \$3,602 per dwelling unit for new residential development and \$1.52 per sq.

ft. for new non-residential development.

- B. The City Council wishes to establish a policy to impose a lower SDC on non-residential development as an economic development incentive and has concluded that \$0.25 per square foot is an appropriate fee.
- C. Therefore, the Parks System SDC, to be collected in accordance with Section 4 of Ordinance No. 387, shall be \$2,611 per dwelling unit and \$0.25 per sq. ft. for non-residential structures.

SECTION 8. REVENUE AND EXPENDITURES

- A. All funds derived from these charges shall be segregated according to standard municipal accounting practices and credited to a parks systems development charge fund.
- B. All expenditures from this fund will be in accordance with the systems development Ordinance No. 387, and will be expended only for park system capital improvements to meet the demands for new and future growth of the City of Aumsville, for the costs of developing the parks SDC methodologies and for the costs of providing an annual accounting of system development charge expenditures.

SECTION 9. EFFECTIVE DATE AND REPEAL OF CONFLICTING RESOLUTIONS

This resolution shall be in force and effect from and after passage by the Aumsville City Council. Resolution No. 19-06 is hereby superseded by this resolution.

SECTION 10. PERIODIC REVIEW

This resolution, its methodology and conclusions as to the SDC shall be reviewed periodically by the City Council of the City of Aumsville and shall be updated as needed, based on new improvement requirements and estimated costs thereof, etc.

CONSIDERED AND PASSED BY THE AUMSVILLE CITY COUNCIL ON THE 14TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2009.

Harold White, Mayor

ATTEST:

Maryann M. Hills, City Administrator

City of Aumsville Parks SDC Improvement Fee Methodology

The Parks SDC methodology shall be the basis for imposing a systems development charge (SDC) on those activities that create the need for or increase the demand for necessary capital improvements within the city parks system within the City of Aumsville, Oregon.

I. Parks SDC Elements.

The Aumsville Parks SDC is composed of both a parks improvement fee and a parks reimbursement fee.

- A. Parks Improvement Fee: The improvement fee was developed based on projects listed in the City of Aumsville "Parks Facilities Master Plan". The parks improvement project list was updated in August 2009.
- B. Parks Reimbursement Fee: The reimbursement fee was developed based on the actual cost of parks improvement projects completed since 2001, including administrative costs.

II. Parks Improvement SDC:

The Aumsville "Parks Facilities Master Plan" identifies park improvement projects which will serve the city as it grows through the year 2040. The majority of the projects listed are needed to serve current and future residents during the next 20 years, through 2029. However, there are a number of projects, including land acquisition for larger community parks, which will serve community more residents and meet community needs for a longer period of time. The City concludes that these costs should be allocated to all residents through the year 2040.

- A. Population Growth: The City's population in July 2008 was 3,595 persons. The plan anticipates the City will reach a population of 6,273 in the year 2029 and 8,257 in the year 2040.
- B. Land Needs: The City has 15.03 acres of parks. The plan projects a need for 36.71 acres of parks and open space to serve the 2029 population and a need for 49.54 acres of parks and open space to serve the 2040 population of 8,257.
- C. Park Improvements: The City has developed park improvement plans for each existing park and open space area and for future community and neighborhood parks to serve the future 2040 population.

The plan recommends \$7,232,851 in park land acquisition and park improvements. Table A-1 summarizes the recommended park improvements in each of the city's parks. The detailed list of park improvement projects is attached as Exhibit 'B'.

Table A-1
Estimated Cost of Park Improvements for Aumsville City Parks

Park	Land Acquisition (LA)	Playground Equipment & Facilities (Play)	Site Improvements (S)	Park Buildings & Facilities (PF)	Total Estimated Cost
Community Parks					
Eastside Community Park	1,515,888	0	1,559,400	0	3,075,288
Mill Creek Park	284,229	51,750	858,590	0	1,194,569
Porter-Boone Park	189,486	0	670,565	0	860,051
Neighborhood Parks					
Flowers Park	244,500	185,707	71,707	65,550	567,464
Highberger Greenway	0	0	343,588	0	343,588
NW Neighborhood Park	254,826	0	172,500	0	427,326
Panther Mini-Park	0	0	0	0	0
Water Tower Mini-Park	0	0	0	0	0
Wildwood Park	381,150	23,000	191.188	0	694,565
Master Parks Plan Update					70,000
	en gradine von er op e manskir væget nævgste	Total C	ost of Proposed Pa	rk Improvements	7,232,851

- D. Allocation of Park Improvement Costs to Existing Development vs. New Development: The costs for the acquisition and development of parks should be shared by both existing residents and new development. The Aumsville City Council has determined that the costs should be shared as follows:
 - 1. Benefits Assigned to Existing Users and New Development:

The "Parks Facilities Master Plan" recommends improvements to both existing city parks and recommends the addition of future parks. Table A-2 shows that 56% of the cost of park improvements needed by the year 2029will benefit existing residents and 43% of the cost of park improvements needed by the year 2040 will benefit existing residents. These allocations have been used for most of the proposed improvements.

Table A-2
Project Benefit Assigned
Current Users vs. Future Users

Year	Benefitting Aumsville Population	Population to be added	Persons per Equivalent Dwelling Unit (EDU)	Residential Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDU)	Project Benefit 2008 vs. 2029 Population %	Project Benefit 2008 vs. 2040 Population %
2007	3,535	-	2.90	1,219	56%	43%
2028	6,273	2,738	2.80	2,240	46%	
2040	8,257	4,722	2.80	2,949		57%

The city council has reviewed the priority list and concludes many of the projects will primarily or exclusively benefit new development. Particularly, the acquisition of new park land and open spaces will primarily benefit new residents. Consequently, some projects have a higher percentage of benefit assigned to future growth. In Exhibit "B", the city has allocated the proportionate benefit (%) of each proposed park improvement and park land site acquisition to existing users and future growth. Table A-3 summarizes these allocations in each park.

Table A-3
Allocation of Park Improvements Costs
Current Users vs. Future Users

Park	Share of Project Costs to Current Residents	Share of Project Costs due to Growth (SDC)	Total Estimated Costs
Community Parks			
Eastside Park (future)	512,548	2,562,740	3,075,288
Mill Creek	472,826	721,743	1,194,569
Porter-Boone / Boone-Docks	319,488	540,563	860,051
Neighborhood & Mini-Parks			
Eastside (Flowers)	189,205	378,258	567,464
Highberger & Greenway	0	343,588	343,588
NW Neighborhood	97,208	330,118	427,326
Panther	0	0	0
Water Tower	0	0	0
Wildwood	405,308	289,258	694,565
Master Parks Plan Update	0	70,000	70,000
TOTALS	1,996,583	5,236,268	7,232,851

E. Allocation of Costs to Residential & Non-Residential Users:

The Aumsville City Council has determined that the cost of acquiring and developing open space and park facilities should be borne by both residential and non-residential uses. The city council concludes that a reasonable allocation of benefit is 92% for residential uses and 8% for non-residential uses.

Table A-4
SDC Eligible Project Expenses
Residential vs. Non-Residential Demand for Park Facilities

	Residential Demand for New Parks Facilities (92%)	Non-Residential Demand for New Parks Facilities (8%)	Total
Future User Share (SDC Eligible)	4,817,367	418,901	5,236,268
Current User Share	1,836,856	159,727	1,996,583
Total	6,654,223	578,628	7,232,851

Table A-5 Residential Parks SDC Improvement Fee Calculation

	Not Eligible for SDCs Existing Residents Share	SDC Eligible Future Residents Share	Total
Population	3,535	2,738	6,273
Dwelling Units	1,219	1,021	2,240
Park Improvement Costs Benefitting Residential Users	\$ 1,836,856	\$4,817,367	\$6,654,223
Maximum Parks SDC Charge per Dwelling Unit		\$ 3,397	See Exhibit "B" for details

F. Allocation of Costs to Non-Residential Users:

Non-residential development is anticipated to account for 8% of the future demand for new parks facilities. In order to equitable charge for the impact, non-residential development can reasonably be charged on a cost per square foot basis. There is projected to be 500,000 sq. ft. of total non-residential structures by the year 2028, of which 200,000 sq. ft. (40%) currently exists. Therefore, the proportionate share of improvements for new non-residential development is 60%.

Table A-6
Non-Residential Parks SDC
Improvement Fee Calculation

	Not Eligible for SDCs	SDC Eligible	10110016
	Existing Users Share	Future Non-Resident User Share (2028)	Total
Non-Residential Space (sq. ft.)	200,000 (40%)	300,000 (60%)	500,000 sq. ft.
Non-Residential Share of Park Improvements Costs	\$ 159.727	\$ 418,901	\$578,628
Maximum Parks SDC Charge per square foot		1.40 per sq. ft.	

Exhibit "B" City of Aumsville Proposed Park Improvements

336			49/,318	540,563	53%	150,000		1000	9	1 otter-byone, any costs
			ı	436,409	200	020,054				Potter Boons Dad Coal
						200.70			A	Porter-Boone Park Improvement Costs
37 103	4,722	2040	174,327	189,486	100%	189,486	63,162	3.00	3 acres @ \$1.45/sf	o LA Land Acquisition
6 18	4,722		29,595	32,168	57%	56,250	<u>.</u>))		_
			197,298	214,454	57%	375,000				
42 117	4,722	2040	197,298	214,454	57%	3//5/000	125,000	3	3 acres @ \$125,060/acre	4 S Site Improvements (Future)
									The state of the s	lition to
35 98			96,098	704,455		239,335	***			Lotter-booker annunty veilletin Costs
5 13	2,738	2028	12,535	13,625	44%	31,215		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		S Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%
				90,830	44%	208,100				
29 82	2,738	i		87,295	44%	200,000	40,000	S	5 acres @ \$40,000/acre	Site Improvements (Existing Par
3	2,738	2028	3,253	3,535	44%	8,100	27	300	6' wide PCC	o co
									mity Park	ter-Boo
178 500			664,004	721,743	60%	1,194,569			S	Total Will Creek Park Costs
248			417,593	453,905	1876	280,929				
55 155	4,722	2040	261,491	284,229	100%	284,229	63,162	4.50	4.5 acres @ \$1.45/sf	IZ LA Land Acquistion
4 12	4,722	2040	20,361	22,132	57%	38,700				-
			135,741	147,545	57%	258,000				
8 21	4,722		35,777	38,888	57%	68,000	34	2,000	8' wide PCC	o Pedestrian/Bike Path to RK Trac
4 12	4,722		21,045	22,875	57%	40,000	40,000		1 acres @ \$40,000/acre	ď
	4,722	2040	78,919	85,782	57%	150,000	150,000	_		8 S Pedestrian Bridge across Mill Creek
										lition to
90 252			246,411	267,838		613,640			S	Mill Creek Park Improvement Costs
12 33	2,738	2028	32,141	34,935	44%	80,040				/ Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%
				232,902	44%	533,600				Subtotal
	2,738	2028		26,188	44%	60,000	4,000	15	acom lighting	S Decorative Pathway Lighting
	2,738			4,365	44%	10,000	10,000	_		_
	2,738		14,054	15,277	44%	35,000	35,000	_		Play
13 37	2.738		36,140	39,283	44%	90,000	90,000		tables, benches, LS	
	2738	2028	130 506	141.854	44%	325,000	325,000			S
S	2.738		5.461	5.936	44%	13,600	<u>ε</u>	400	6' wide PCC	
										Mill Creek Park
			2,357,721	2,562,740	83%	3,075,288			1.4	Eastside Community Park Costs
246 689	4,722		1,162,181	1,263,240	83%	1,515,888	63,162	24	@1.45/sf	4 LA Land Acquisition
33 92	4,722	2040	- 1	169,500	83%	203,400				3 Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%
				1,130,000	%88	1,356,000			_	Subtotal
	4,722	2040	303,600	330,000	83%	396,000	396.00	1,000	2/3 Street, W. S. Storm	2 S Entry Street & Utility Improvements
175	4 700			800 000	83%	960,000	40,000	24	24 acres @ 40,000/ac	
		40		,						Commi
4664	P. P. S.	Year	Development	Ž	Development	2008.\$\$\$	Unit Cost	#units	Added Description	# Type Park Improvement Description
Cost per Cost per	Benefitting Cos		Future Residential	Benefitting Future	Future	Estimated Cost				Item Project
		100	Benefitting) Cost) }					
			92% Cost					•		

Exhibit "B" City of Aumsville Proposed Park Improvements

	4 _	Water		2	_	Pan		ω	2		Z X	100	~		3	N		Hig	2111576	9	o	7		4	2		Eas	#	Hem	
			100		S	Panther Mini-Park			S	5	NW Neighborhood Park				S	s	s	hberg		5	5			Play	т	S	Eastside Neighborhood Park (Flowers)	Type	Project	
	Eng.	wer #		Eng	Site	fini-F		Eng.	Park	Lanc	borh		Ę	•	ଦୁଜୁ	G R	Site	er Pa		Lanc	Lanc	Eng,		Play	Resi	Site	Neigt	i i i		
	Improv LA an	「ower Mini-Park		LA an	Site Improvements	ark		Σ an	Park Site Improvements	Land Acquisition	l poor	Highba	LA an		Greenway Lighting	enway	Site Improvements	rk & (and Acquisition	Land Acquisition	LAan		Play Features	Restrooms	Site Development & Utilities	borh	Pan		
H	/ement id Proje	ark		d Proje	/ement		Ne	d Prog	nprove	Sition	Park	rger f	ă Proje	,	Lightin	Improv	ement/	Greer	Easts	sition	Sition	d Proje		8		pment	<u>000</u>	Cimpro		
ater 1	ect Mg1		Pa	ect Mg	w		ghbor	ct Mg	ments			ark &	oct Mg		Q	ement	ช	ıway	ide Ne			ect Mgr				l & Utili	Park	vement		
Ower	Site Improvements Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%		ather I	Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%	+		hood	Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%				Green	Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%			Greenway Improvements no lighting		Park	gabor			Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%				ties	Flow	Park Improvement Description		
Water Tower Park Costs	8		Panther Park Costs	%			NW Neighborhood Park Costs	*				Highberger Park & Greenway Costs	%	Subtotal		hting		Exter	Eastside Neighborhood Park			%	Subtota				<u>es</u>	dion		
osts			osts			i	osts		@	2		osts		otal	6	6	6	Highberger Park & Greenway Park Extension to Bishop Road	ark	ı	Ď		otal	B	Ŋ	(Z)				
									@100,000/acre	2.50 acres @ 3.90 sf					\$55,99	@ \$40,000 acre	\$1157	to Bis		@ 81,500/lot	Donated w/ Subdiv.			80079-E	a-62008	9		Added Description		
			State Line						facre	@ 3.90					5 JR85 8	Jacre		hopi		Ω	/ Subdiv.			8	8	8		Descrip		
								_		<u>α</u> .					-2009		9238 8	₹oad										tion		
	0				0				1.50	1.50					1.00	160	1 00		100 CM	2.00	20				-	1		#units		
												S. 150 110 110																		
			1601100						100,000	169,884					58,99	40,000	5 7			81,500	81,500			61.48	57,000	6235		Unit Cost		
					0				٠																			,	Œ.	
							42	2	15	25		34	4	29	5	ø	77		- 56	16	œ	4:	28	Б	ψı	Ø		2008 \$\$\$	Estimated Cost	
0	0		0	0	0		427,326	22,500	150,000	254,826		343,588	44,816	298,773	58,995	1,000	5 7.8		567,464	163,000	81,500	42,126	280,838	484	57,000	62 35 <u>1</u>			ğ. β.	
	09			GS.	0%		77%	44%	44%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	300	3000		67%	79%	79%	57%	57%	57	57%	570		Development	Future	D } }
	•			•	0		%	%	%	%		%	%	%	90	o°.	8		6	%	%	%	%	8	8			oment	ā ē	
							3.		_	Ñ		3		2		_	_		100 13	1:	_		1					Develo	Future	Ω
0	0		0	0	o		330,118	9,821	65,471	254,826		343,588	44,816	298,773	58,995	34,000 1,000	75,778		378,258	129,042	64,521	24,091	30,605	92,349	32,597	35,659		Development		Cost
												100																Devel	7) (8)	Bene
0	0 0		0	0	0		303,708	9,035	60,233	34,440		316,101	41,231	274,871	54,275	58,880	61,715		347,998	118,718	59,359	22,164	47,757	84,961	29,989	32,806		Development		Benefitting
													Г											ŀ				₹.	yd Jacobo	•
	2028 2028			2028	728 827			2028	2028	2028			2028		2028	2028	ಸ ಜ			2040	40	46		2040	40	40				10.51
1600	2,738 2,738			2,738	2,7			2,738	2,7	2,738			2,738		2,738	2,738	2,7			4,722	4,7	4,7		4,722	4,7	4,7		Served	Population	þ
	88	\dashv		38	38		W 150	38	38	38	\dashv	75 11.	38		38	8	8	\dashv		8	8	23		22	8	8	,	8 428	4.1844	
0	00		0	0	0		11	ω	ខ	86		115	15		20	23	59		74	25	13	ű		18	ത	7		capita .	Cost per	
							38												312 Kell								Total Control	멸.	8	
0	00		0	٥	٥		311	ဖှ	8	240		323	12		8	පු	<u>6</u> 5		206	70	မ္	13		50	₫	3			8	

Exhibit "B" City of Aumsville Proposed Park Improvements

Total Improvement Costs Park Site Improvements 1.25 2.738 2.	3,603	1,287									Fotal Parks SDC		
Pain Update Totals Pain Kost Pain Ko	4 205	74			554,222	602,415		720,979			mpleted Projects)	Reimbursement Fee (Co	
Sementing Seme	3 3,397	1,213			4,817,367	5,236,268	72%	7,232,851			t Costs-All Parks	Total Improvemen	
Subtotal 1.25 acres @ 125.001s 1.25 acres @ 17.001s 1.25 acr													
Served	4 66	2			64,400	70,000		70,000			S	Parks Master Plan Update Total	
27.38 27.3	3		2,738		36,800	40,000	100%	40,600	40,630	-1		Parks Waster Plan Update (2015)	2
27.2535 27.264 28.27.265	J		2,738		27,600	30,000	100%	30,000	30,000	_		Parks Waster Plan Update (2010)	_
Park Improvement Description Added Description #units Unit Cost 2008.5\$\$ Development Development Development Devel												ks Master Plan Updates	Parks
2006 2007 2008					266,117	289,258	42%	694,565				Wildwood Park Cost	
Park Improvement Description Added Description # units Unit Cost Estimated Cost Energiting England Energiting England Energiting			2,738		140,263	152,460	40%	381,150	304,920	1.25	1.25 acres @ 7.00/sf	⋝	ڻ.
272, Cost Seneriting Park Improvement Description Added Description # units Unit Cost Development	رن		2,738		16,416	17,843	44%	40,880				Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%	4
27.5 27.5					109,438	118,954	44%	272,535				Subtota	
27% Cost	3	2:	2,738	2028	62,743	68,199	44%	156,250	125,000	1	1.25 acres @ 125,000/ac	1	ω
20% Cost	7		4,722		34,648	37,661	44%	86,285	86,285		JMS Update - 8-2009		ω
Park Improvements Acided Description Acided	_		2,738	2028	4,016	4,365	44%	10,000	10,000				2
92% Cost Benefiting Benefiting Benefiting Benefiting Benefiting Future Future Future Februarion Added Description #units Unit Cost 2008-\$\$\$\$ Development Development Development Vear Served	w		2,738	2028	8,031	8,729	44%	20,000	20,000	_`			_
92% Cost Benefiting Cost Benefiting Benefiting Future Estimated Cost Park Improvement Description Added Description #funits Unit Cost 2008 \$5\$ Development Development Development Development Development Development Visar Served												dwood Park	Wildy
Seminated Cost Seminarity Cost Seminarity Seminarity Cost Seminarity Seminari	E	capita		Year	Development	Development	Development	2008 \$\$\$	Unit Cost	#units	Added Description		W
92% Cost Cost Benefitting	Cost	Cost per		Needed by	Future Residential		Benefitting Future	Estimated Cost					item f
					92% Cost Benefitting	Cost	%						

Project Soley	2040	2029	2008	Year
Project Soley Benefitting New Development	8,257	6,273	3,535	Benefiting Aumsville Population P
	4,722	2,738	235	E Added E
	2.80	2.80	2.90	Persons Re per 1 quivalent hwell Unit (EDU)
	2,949	2,240	1,219	Residential Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDU)
100%		44%	56%	2008 vs. 2029 Population %
	57%		43%	2008 vs. 2040 Population

Changes from May 2008 caics

Exhibit "C"

Aumsville Parks Master Plan Park Land Needs Analysis

49.54	37.64	21.21	Veeds	Total - Park Land Needs
20.64	15.68	8.84	2.50	Community Parks
28.90	21.96	12.37	Veeds	Neighborhood & Mini Parks Land Needs
8.26	6.27	3.54	1.00	Linear Parks & Greenways
20.64	15.68	8.84	2.50	Neighborhood & Mini Parks
				Neighborhood, Mini-Parks & Greenways
Needed in 2040 (Acres)	Needed in 2028 (Acres)	Needed for Existing Residents [Acres]	Acres Needed per 1000 population	Park Land Needed
	44%	56%		%
4722	2,738	0		Population Increase
8257	6,273	3535		Population (PSU-July 2008)
Estimated New Residential Growth by 2040	Estimated New Estimated New Residential Residential Growth by 2028 Growth by 2040	Existing Residents		Community Parks

	49.76	37.76	15.03	Total Park Acreage by Year
49.76	12.00	22.73	15.03	Totals
5.91	0.00	3.23	2.68	Neighborhood & Mini-Parks Total
0.35		0.35		TL 2000 (south half)
0.45		0.45		TL 2000 (north half)
0.45		0.45		TL 1800 & 1900
0.58			0.58	Wildwood
0.25			0.25	Water Tower Mini-park
0.25			0.25	Panther
1.50		1.50		Northwest Neighborhood Park
1.60			1.60	Highberger Linear Park
0.48		0.48	0.00	Eastside Neighborhood Park (Flowers)
				Neighborhood, Mini-Parks & Greenways
43.85	12.00	19.50	12.35	Community Parks Total
24.00	12.00	12.00		Eastside Community Park (Bishop Rd. area)
9.00		4.50	4.50	Mill Creek Park (ind. Greenway to RR tracks)
10.85		3.00	7.85	Porter Boone & Boone-Docks
				Community Parks
Fotal	Proposed Additions by 2040 (Acres)	Proposed Additions by 2028 (Acres)	Existing Parks (Acreage)	Park Land Inventory

Exhibit "C"

Aumsville Parks Master Plan Park Land Needs Analysis

49.76		29.88		19.88	Total Acres incl. existing + acquisition
49.54	57%	28.33		21.21	Need
34.73	86%	29.88		4.85	Total Acres to be Acquired
1.50	100%	1.50	0%	0.00	Northwest Neighborhood Park
0.48	79%	0.38	21%	0.10	Eastside Neighborhood Park (Flowers)
0.00		0.00		0.00	Highberger Linear Park
1.25	40%	0.50	60%	0.75	Wildwood
3.00	100%	3.00	0%	0.00	Porter Boone/Boone Docks/Mill Creek
4.50	100%	4.50	0%	0.00	Mill Creek & Greenway
24.00	83%	20.00	17%	4.00	Eastside Community Park (Bishop Rd. area)
otal Acres	Share T	Future Growth Sh	r Share	Existing User Share	Park Acres to be Acquired by City

2,864,208	34.73			Total Cost for Acquisition
254,688	1.50	169,792	3.90	Northwest Neighborhood Park
244,500	0.48	509,375	11.69	Eastside Neighborhood Park (Flowers)
(0)	0.00	254,688	5.85	Highberger Linear Park
381, 150	1.25	304,920	7.00	Wildwood
4511521	24.00	62,980	1.45	Eastside Community Park (Bishop Rd. area)
188,940	3.00	62,980	1.45	Porter Boone/Boone Docks/Mill Creek
283,410	4.50	62,980	1.45	Milt Creek & Greenway
Total	# of acres	ost per acre #	Cost persf Co	Estimated Acquisition Cost per acre

2,864,208	81%	2,332,661	19%	531,548	Total Cost for Acquisition
254,688	100%	254,688	0%	0	Northwest Neighborhood Park
244,500	79%	193,563	21%	50,938	Eastside Neighborhood Park (Flowers)
0	100%	0	0%	0	Highberger Linear Park
381,150	40%	152,460	60%	228,690	Wildwood
1,511,521	83%	1,259,601	17%	251,920	Eastside Community Park (Bishop Rd. area)
188,940	100%	188,940	0%	0	Porter Boone/Boone Docks/Mill Creek
283,410	100%	283,410	0%	0	Mill Creek & Greenway
Total	%	Future Growth Cost	%	Cost	Park Land Acquistion Cost

Exhibit "D"

Aumsville Parks SDC

Park Improvements Reimbursement Fee Projects Completed 2001 to 2007

4,912 122,139	33,798	20	62%	96 887 -	3886	050 65 716' 1	155 027	2002	Will Creek Subtries	WIII Clock	
1	.,	3 8	8	10,010	1000	2	4040	3000		Mill Crook	ا د
22 350	1 176	20	100%	23 526	0%		23.526	2008	Mill Crk Park Ballfield, Irrigation & Riparian Imp	Mill Creek	12
61,371	15,343	20	42%	32,031	58%	44,683	76,714	2005	Mill Crk Park Driveway & Parking Improvements	Mill Creek	크
5,590	1,863	20	100%	7,453	0%		7,453	2004	Mill Crk Site Improvements (Fence, Parking, etc)	Mill Creek	10
	420	20	0%	ယ	100%	1,397	1,400	2003	Mill Crk Site Improvements (Parking & Site Work)	Mill Creek	9
8,340	3,574	20	8 4 %	10,040	16%	1,875	11,915	2003		Mill Creek	Ç0
7,610	4,098	20	47%	5,524	53%	6,183	11,708	2002	Mill Crk Site Improvements	Mill Creek	7
10,985	7,324	20	100%	18,309	0%		18,309	2001	Mill Crk Site Improvements	Mill Creek	თ
37	4,001		88%	36,723	12%	5.156	41/87/9		Highberger Park Subtotal		
11,927		20	100%	11,927	0%	Company of the Compan	11,927	2009	Highberger Park Site Development	Highberger	On On
	No depreciation	20	100%	410	0%	ı	410	2009	Highberger Land Acquisition (Admin & Legal)	Highberger	2
4,084	215	20	100%	4,299	0%	Ī	4,299	2008	Highberger Park Site Development	Highberger	, cn
21,457	3,787	20	80%	20,088	20%	5,156	25,244	2006	Highberger Park Site Development	Highberger	ហ
\$ 381,494	\$ 53,478		45%	\$ 198,425	55%	246,939	\$ 445,363 \$		Park Improvements Subtotal		
192,030			73%		27%		198,143		Porter-Boone Subtotal		
33,279	-	40	68%	22,605	32%	10,674	33,279	2009	PB Site Improvements - Res	Porter-Boone	~ C
130,137	3,337	40	68%	90,655	32%	42,818	133,473	2008	PB Site Improvements - Restroom & Rec Ctr	Porter-Boone	7
25,026	2,029	40	100%	27,055	0%	•	27,055	2006	PB Restroom	Porter-Boone	თ
413	73	40	21%	101	79%	384	486	2003	PB Park Bandstand	Porter-Boone	O1
3,176	674	40	100%	3,850	0%		3,850	2002	PB Bandstand	Porter-Boone	4
			TUU%	10.391	0%		10.391	and the second second	Easiside Subiolais	den version (1946) advers a company on adversaria services	
The Property of the Property o	No depreciation		100%	1,800	0%	-	1,800	2009	Eastside Appraisals	Eastside	ယ
	No depreciation		100%	8,591	0%	•	8,591	2008	Flowers Park Design and Appraisals	Eastside	Ν.
189,464	47,366		18%	43,767	82%	193,063	236,830		Mill Creek Subtotal		
189,464	47,366	40	18%	43,767	82%	193,063	236,830	2001	Park Improvements (SDC Annual Reports) 1 Mill Creek Mill Crk Park Skatepark & Restrooms	nprovements (S Mill Creek	Park In
\$ 8,099			()	\$ 8,099	\$ -	-	\$ 8,099 \$		Land Subtotal		
8,099		20	100%	8,099	0%	•	8,099	2009	Highberger Land Acquisition	Highberger	Land L1
Book Value	Depreciation thru 2009	Useful Life (Years)		SDC Share	*	Other Funding Sources	Original Cost	D and	Park Improvements		
se and present uses.	TO A TO SECURITY OF THE PARTY O	and a lander make that	100 1 200 1 1200	a majorita per men proportion	97,500 St. 2 Section 91	the section of a design of the	medical distribution of many and	and the plantage	and the second of the second	Same of the second	Same and Same

Exhibit "D"

Aumsville Parks SDC

Park Improvements Reimbursement Fee

Projects Completed 2001 to 2007	

			34	33	31	30	29	28	The second secon	27 Wildwood	26 Wildwood	And the second s	25 Water Tower	24 Water Tower	23 Water Tower	22 Water Tower	21 Water Tower	20 Water Tower		19 Porter-Boone	18 Porter-Boone	17 Porter-Boone	16 Porter-Boone	15 Porter-Boone			is Fanther	
Total Park Improvements	Park Improvements Subtota	Parks SDC Admin Charges	SDC Administrative Fees	Vylicawood Sub	Wildwood Site Improvements	Wildwood Play Equipment (Spring Toys)	Water lower Sub	 Water Tower Site Improvement 	er Water Tower Site Improvements	er Water Tower Site Improvements	er Water Tower Benches & Awnings	er Water Tower Benches & Awnings	er Water Tower Benches	Porter-Boone Sub	ne PB Site Improvements - Parking & Court	-	ne PB Multi-Purpose Court		ne PB & Boonedocks Site Improvements	ne PB Park Electrical Upgrades	Panmer Sub	Pantner Site Improvements						
	total	ges	2009	2008	2006	2004	2002	2001	Olai	2005	2001	<u>(01a)</u>	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	ofal	2005	2004	2004	2004	2003	2003	otal	C007	200
\$ 720,979 \$	\$ 267,517 \$	6,268	267	3,473	658	7	1,084	780	2,351	2,317	33	13,6/3	43	2,299	2,049	2,621	4,887	1,775	43,467	13,868	9,393	937	6,888	3,396	8,984	3943	1,524	
348,630	101,691	L	ı					•	1,591	1,591		2,310	1	1,549	•	761	1	ı	30,625	10,989	1,291	937	6,888	1,666	8,854	2.959	1,047	
	38% \$	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	68%	69%	0%		don.	67%	0%	29%	0%	0%					100%	49%	99%			2
372,349		6,268	267	3,473	658	7	1,084	780	697	726	33	11,363	43	749	2,049	1,860	4,887	1,775	12,842	2,879	8,102	,		1,730	131	984	4//	į
								100%		200		83%								21%	86%	0%	%	51%	1%	25%	31%	2
			20	20	20	20	2	28		8	20		20	20	20	20	20	20		20	20	20	20	20	20		20	}
\$ 107,763 \$	\$ 54,284 \$		No depreciation	4/7	463	3	4.185	O	460	512	786	1,710	710	10,792	2,774	2,348	234	1,722	1,019	2,695	1,030	305						
	212,823	6,268			1			ī	1,8/4	1,854	20	9,488	36	1,839	1,537	1,835	3,177	1,065	32,674	11,094	7,045	703	5,166	2,377	6,289	2912	1,220	

Exhibit "D"

Aumsville Parks SDC

Park Improvements Reimbursement Fee Projects Completed 2001 to 2007

SDC per EDU # of EDUs 2008-2028 Estimated Reimbursement Fee Revenues	Park Improvements Reimbursement Fee Calculation Cost per capita Persons per household (2040 estimate) Reimbursement Fee per household	Book Value of 20-year Useful Life Improvements Residential Share @ 92% divided by 2028 population	Book Value of 40-year Useful Life Improvements Residential Share @ 92% divided by 2040 population Cost per capita	Estimated Housing Units New Housing Units	Existing Population Increase Cumulative	Park Improvements
	\$ 73.72 \$ 2.8 \$ 206.41	\$ 212,823 \$ 195,797 6,273 \$ 31.21	\$ 381,494 \$ 350,974 8,257 \$ 42.51	1,219	3,535	Current Population (2008) F
206.41 1021 \$ 210,829				2,240 1,021	6,273 2,738 2,738 2,738	Benefitting opulation (2029)
ii SSSSS	Park Improvements Reimbursement Fee Calculation Reimbursement Fee per square foot	Book Value of 20-year Useful Life Improvements Non-Residential Share @ 8% Divided by 300,000 sq. ft. space Cost per sq. foot of non-residential space	Book Value of 40-year Useful Life Improvements Non-Residential Share @ 8% Divided by 500,000 sq ft of space Cost per sq. foot of non-residential space	2,949 1,730	8,257 1,984 4,722	Benefitting Benefitting - Population (2029) Population (2040)

\$ 212,823 \$ 17,026 300,000 \$ **0.06** \$ 381,494 \$ 30,520 500,000 \$ **0.06**

Exhibit "E" City of Aumsville

Proposed Park Improvements

East-lack Community Park Emmand-Local Em	860,051			540,563	63%	860,951	8	Porter-Boone Park Costs	
SDC_Highbe Senetiting Senetiting Senetiting Senetiting Senetiting Senetiting Senetiting Share of physics Status Stat	620 736			436.709	70%	629,736		Porter-Boone Pask Improvement Cos	
SIDC High Piece	189.486			189.486	100%	189,486	3 acres @ \$1,45/sf		
SIDE Highest Seminated Coast Fertiture Fertitu	56,250			32,168	57%	56,250		Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%	Œ
Substitution Substitution Substitution Cost Benefitting Cost Substitution Cost Su	375,000			214,454	57%	375,000		Subtota	
SDC_Highte Society S	375,000			214,454	57%	375,000	3 acres @ \$75,000/acre		4
SIDC_Highe Since								ion to Porter-Boone Park	Additi
## SDC:Highle Eminates/Cost Benefiting Cost Benefiting Scott Benef				104,455	44%	239,315		Porter-Boone Park improvement Cost	
## SDC Highbe Sementing Cost Beineting Cost Beineting Cost Beineting Cost Beineting Share of Project	31,215			13,625	44%	31,215		Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%	3
Estimated Cost Protein State of Project Post Benefiting Cost Benefiting Estimated Cost Project Post Benefiting Estimated Cost Project Post Benefiting Estimate State of Project Cost Post Benefiting Estimate State of Project Estimate State of Project Post Benefiting State of Project Cost Post State of Project Post State State of Project Post State Post State of Project Post State Post	208,100			90,830	44%	208,100		Subtota	
Estimated Cost Protein State Cost Benefiting Cost Benefiting Cost Benefiting Estimated Cost Project Putuse Particle State of Project Putuse Secription 2008 \$33 Development Development Protein State of Project Cost Project Putuse Secription 83% 800,000 17% 66,000 17% 66,000 17% 226,000 17% 396,000 1356,000 83% 1,330,000 17% 226,000 17% 33,900 17% 33,900 11% 515,888 83% 1,253,240 17% 255,248 33,900 1,551,888 83% 1,253,240 17% 512,548 34% 1,551,2548 33,000 14% 5,936 56% 7,664 325,000 44% 14,185 56% 183,146 30,000 44% 3,555 56% 56% 56% 56,233 10,000 44% 14,185 56% 56% 56,233 10,000 44% 232,902 566% 33,812 35,000 44% 232,902 566% 33,812 33,800 44% 232,902 566% 33,812 33,800 57% 34,388 43% 29,112 56,000 57% 22,875 43% 17,125 68,000 57% 22,875 43% 17,125 68,000 57% 22,875 43% 110,485 16,568 28,145 12,548 43% 29,112 56,569 38,700 57% 22,132 43% 16,568 29,112 56,569 36,000 65% 22,132 43% 16,568 36,000 57% 22,132 43% 16,568 36,000 57% 22,132 43% 16,568 36,000 57% 22,132 43% 16,568 36,000 57% 22,132 43% 16,568 36,000 57% 22,132 43% 16,568 36,000 57% 22,132 43% 16,568 36,000 57% 22,132 43% 16,568 36,000 57% 22,132 43% 16,568 36,000 57% 22,132 43% 16,568 36,000 57% 22,132 43% 43% 16,568 36,000 57% 22,132 43% 43% 16,568 36,000 57% 22,132 43% 43% 45,125 45,600 57% 22,132 43% 45,125 45,600 57% 22,132 43% 45,125 45,600 57% 22,132 43% 45,125 45,600 57% 22,132 43% 45,125 45,600 57% 22,132 43% 45,125 45,600 57% 22,132 43% 45,125 45,600 57% 22,132 43% 45,125 45,600 57% 22,132 43% 45,125 45,600 57% 22,132 43% 45,125 45,600 57% 22,132 45,6	200,000			87,295	44%	200,000	1	Site Improvements (Existing Part	N.
## SIDC Hightie Benefiting Cost Benefiting Share of Project	8,100			3,538	44%	8,100	6" wide PCC		
## SIDC Higher				-			Inity Park	r-Boone Park & Boone-Docks Commi	Porter
Subtotal Fridge	1,194,569			721,743	60%	1,194,569	S	Total Will Creek Park Cost	
### SDC Flightle Semeitting Cost Beneitting Cost	580,929			453,345	18%	28,92			
Subtotal	284,229			284,229	700%	284,229		Land Addr	
Subtotal Famous	38,700			22,132	57%	38,700			
Subtotal	258,000			147,545	57%	258,000			
Sincy Higgs	68 000			38,888	57%	68,000		Pedestrian/Bike Path to RR Trac	10
Sinc Eligible Seminated Cost Semin	40,000	•		22,875	57%	40,000	1 acres @ \$40,000/acre		ဖ
SDC_Elignise	150,000			85,782	57%	150,000			0
SDC-Higgsie Seminated Cost Seminat								ion to Mill Creek Park	Additi
SIDC Highle Sementiary Side Highle Sementiary Side Highle Sementiary Side Highle Sementiary Side Highle Sementiary Share of Project Future Side Highle Share of Project Side Highle Side Highle Share of Project Side Highle Side Hi	613,640			267,838	44%	613,640	S	Will Creek Park Improvement Cos	
SDC Higgible Penelitting Cost Benefitting Semelitting Share of Project Future Futur	80,040			34,935	44%	80,040		Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%	7
SDC_Highte SDC_Highte Sementing Cost Sementing S	533,600	4 4		232,902	44%	533,600			
SDC-Highbe SDC-Highbe SDC-Highbe Seminating Cost Seminating Share of Project Sasting Resident Share of Project Total Property Subtotal 1,356,000 83% 330,000 17% Sasting Resident Sa	60,000			26,188	44%	60,000	acom lighting		თ
SDC-Highbe SDC-Highbe SDC-Highbe Sementing Seminting S	10,000			4,365	44%	10,000		-	
SDC-Highbe SDC-Highbe SDC-Highbe Sementing Semining Sem	35,000			15,277	44%	35,000			
SDC:Eligible SDC:	90,000		-	39,283	44%	90,000	tables, benches, LS		
SDC-Eligible SDC-Eligible SDC-Eligible Seneiting Seneiti	325,000		•	141,854	44%	325,000			2
SDC.Eligible SDC.Eligible SDC.Eligible Seneiting Seneiti	13,600			5,936	44%	13,600	6' wide PCC	S Pedestrian/Bike Path	
SDC.Eligible SDC.Eligible Senefiting Cost Benefiting Cost Benefiting Share of Project Future Existing Share of Project Future Fu								reek Park	
SDC:Eignbe SDC	3,075,288			2,562,740	83%	3,075,288	23.2	Eastside Community Park Cos	
SDC Eligible SDC Eligible SDC Eligible SDC Eligible SDC Eligible SDC Eligible State of Project	1,515,888			1,263,240	83%	1,515,888	@1.45/sf		
SDC Eligible SDC Eligible SDC Eligible Substing Resident State of Project Future Existing Resident State of Project State of Sta	203,400			169,500	83%	203,400		Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 20%	ω
% SDC Eligible % Senetifung Resident Sene	1,356,000			1,130,000	83%	1,356,000		Subtota	
## SDC Eligible ## SDC Eligible ## SDC Eligible ## Denefiting Cost Benefiting Cost Estimated Cost ## Development Development Resident Resident ## Resident Costs Total Pro ## Office Offi	396,000	-		330,000	83%	396,000	2/3 Street W. S. Storm		2
% SDC Highble Substitute Cost Benefitting Cost Benefitting & Benefitting Development Cost Future Future Existing Share of Project Development Development Residents Costs	960,000			800,000	83%	960,000	@ 75,000/acre	S Site Improvements	_
% SDC Higgible % SDC Higgible % SDC Higgible % SDC Higgible % Denefiting Cost Benefiting Costing Resident Future Future Existing Share of Project Park Improvement Description Added Description 2008 \$\$\$ Development Development Development Costs				į				ide Community Park	Eastsi
% SDC Higgste Benefitting Cost Benefitting Estimated Cost Future Future	Total Project Cost	Costs	Res		Developmer	2008 \$\$\$	Added Description		#
SDC Higgsle		sting Share of Project		Future	Future	Estimated Cost		Project 100	item P
		nefilling Existing Basidon	~~~	SDC Eligible	Deposition				

Exhibit "E" City of Aumsville Proposed Park Improvements

	1 ~	Wate		2	_	Pant		ω	N	<u> </u>	Z		8		ω	2	_	High		ص	- φ	7		u	Ŋ	_	East	#	Hem		子の 一年 一年 一日 日本
		r Toy		!	Ø	her W			တ	۶.	l eigh				s	S	S	berg		5	5			Play	'n	S	side I	Type	Project	1000	
Water Fower Park Costs	Site Improvements Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%	Water Tower Mini-Park	Panther Park Costs	Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%	Site Improvements	Panther Mini-Park	NW Neighborhood Park Costs	Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%	Park Site Improvements	Land Acquisition	Neighborhood Park	Highberger Park & Greenway Costs	Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%	Subtotal	Greenway Lighting	Greenway Improvements no lighting	Site Improvements	Highberger Park & Greenway Park Extension to Bishop Road	Eastside Neighborhood Park	Land Acquisition	Land Acquisition	Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%	Subtotal	Play Features	Restrooms	Site Development & Utilities	Eastside Neighborhood Park (Flowers)	Park Improvement Description	н		
osts // // //			osts				osts		@100,000/acre	2.50 acres @ 3.90 sf		osts		total	@ \$58,995 -JMS 8-2009	@ \$40,000/acre	@ \$175,778 - JMS 8-200	nsion to Bishop Road	Park	@ 81,500/lot	Donated w/ Subdiv.		total	Zita - 6-2008	Zita - 6-2008	Zita - 6-2008		Added Description		から、このなったとので、これにつきなのでは、なのなが、このながあたいできることできたのであるのであるとのであると	
0	00		. 0	0	0		427,326	22,500	150,000	254,826		343,588	44,816	298,773	58,995	64,000	175,778		567,464	163,000	81,500	42,126	280,838	161,484	57,000	62,354		2008 \$\$\$	Estimated Cost	CONT. PARTO DE LA CONTRACTO	
	0%			0%	0%		77%	44%	44%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		- 67%	79%	79%	57%	57%	57%	57%	57%		Development			Benefitting (
. 0	00		0	ō	0		330,118	9,821	65,471	254,826	-	343,588	44,816	298,773	58,995	64,000	175,778		378,258	129,042	64,521	24,091	160,605	92,349	32,597	35,659		Development			Cost Benefith to I
3500.1	0%		100%	100%	100%		23%	56%	56%	%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		33%	21%	21%	43%	43%	43%	43%	43%		Residents	Existing S	Contract of the second	% Benefiting E
. 0	0		0	ō	0		97,208	12,679	84,529	0		0	0	0	0	<u>o</u>	0		189,205	33,958	16,979	18,035	120,233	69,135	24,403	26,695		idents Costs	Share of Project		xisting Resident
0	0 0		0	õ	0_		427,326	22,500	150,000	254,826		343,588	44,816	298,773	58,995	64,000	175,778		567,464	163,000	81,500	42,126	280,838	161,484	57,000	62,354		Total Project Cost		のないできないというないできないからいますのできない	

Exhibit "E"

City of Aumsville

Proposed Park Improvements

SDC Eligible Semestrating State Semestrating Semestratin	1,996,583 7,232,851	28% 1,996,583	5,236,268	1 72%	7,232,851	Total Improvement Costs—All Parks	Total Improvem		
## SDC Eligible Serial Ser									
## SDC Eligible Serial Cost Benefitting Cost Benefitting Serial Cost Seria	70,000	100% 0	953	10	70,00	osts	Parks Master Plan Update Costs		
## SDC Eligible Serial Estimated Coast Benefitting Cost Benefitting W Benefitting Existing Resident	40,000	0% 0			40,00		Parks Master Plan Update (2013)		2
## SDC:Ebgible Benefitting Cost:Benefitting W. Benefitting Existing Resident	30,000	0%			30,00		Parks Master Plan Update (2008)		_
## SDC Engithe Benefitting Cost: Benefitting ## Benefitting Existing Resident Estimated Cost ## Future ## Future Entiting Cost: Benefitting Existing Resident Existing Resident Existing Resident Existing Share or Project Costs ##							Administration	ninist	Ad
## SDC Enigitie Cost Benefiting Cost C	694,565			100	694,56	osts	Wildwood Park Costs		
## SDC Enigitie Cost Benefiting Cost Benefiting Existing Resident	381,150				381,15	1.25 acres @ 7.00/sf	Land Acquisition	⋝	ر ت
## SDC Engite Cost Benefiting Cost Cost	40,880				40,88		Eng, LA and Project Mgt @ 15%		4
## SDC Eligible Benefiting Cost Benefiting % Benefiting Existing Resident	272,535				272,53	otal	Subtota		
## SDC Eligitie Social Repetiting Social Resident	156,250			Ī	156,25	1.25 acres @ 75,000/ac	Park Site Improvements (Future)	s	ω
SDC Bigible Stating Resident	86,285				86,28	JMS Update - 8-2009	/ Splash Park	Play	ယ
### SDC Bigide Social Benefitting Social Benef	10,000				10,00		Park Site Improvements	Ø	N
2008 \$\$\$ Development Development Residents Costs SDC Engithe SDC Engithe Substituting Cost Benefitting W. Benefitting Existing Resident Existing Share of Project Costs	20,000				20,00		Play Area Improvements	Play	_
% SDC Eligible Benefitting Cost Benefitting % Benefitting Existing Resident Estimated Cost Future Future Existing Share of Project 2006 SS\$ Development Development Residents Costs							Wildwood Park	WOO	₹
% SDC Engine Substance of Subs	Total Project Cost	Costs	Development	Developn	2008 \$\$\$	Added Description	e Park Improvement Description	Туре	4
		itting Existing Resident g Share of Project		Benefitti Future	Estimated Cost			ltem Project	ltem