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Attention is one of the largest topics in the field of neuroimaging and perhaps one in which there has been most consensus. The sheer number of studies in this area makes a review of individual studies difficult and although there is much agreement about the brain areas involved in attention the meaning of these activations is the subject of controversy. This paper first briefly reviews the concept of attention prior to the advent of neuroimaging. Neuroimaging transformed theoretical ideas about the limits of attention into issues concerning the anatomical areas involved. The functional anatomy of attention began with areas of brain activation and only later came the
evidence of functional and structural connectivity. The next section of this paper deals with this current state of attention networks based on neuroimaging studies. The final section of this paper points to the future of attention studies within the general framework created by neuroimaging.

Attention before imaging

The study of attention goes back at least to the effort of Sir William Hamilton in 1859 to determine how many items a person may be conscious of at one time (see Woodworth (1938) for a review). The development of experimental psychology in Germany in the late 1800s led to many experiments on attention. However, since psychology at that time was defined as the science of conscious mental life only what could be consciously reported was considered. Modern neuroscience looks at aspects of brain activity the boosts or reduces signal strength without regard to whether the person or animal is aware of this activity or not.

Physiology of attention

By the middle of the 20th century psychology was identified with behavior and specific studies of the physiology of attention began with the finding of Moruzzi and Magoun (1949) that lesions of the reticular systems of the midbrain resulting in comatose animals. They argued that what they called the reticular activating system was necessary to maintain alertness. Over the years the study of brain activity the boosts or reduces signal strength without regard to whether the person or animal is aware of this activity or not.

Models

Before the imaging era began, successive metaphors sought an understanding of the many behavioral experiments used to study attention. Broadbent (1958) summarized experiments presenting separate messages simultaneously to the two ears by arguing that attention served as a filter which allowed only information from one ear to be processed while information on the other ear was stored in a sensory store. This simple model was modified by Treisman (1969) who used simultaneous visual and auditory messages and argued for attenuation of one while the other was selected. Kahneman (1973) viewed attention as a resource which could be allocated to various inputs until reaching its limit. Allport (1989) argued against the bottleneck or limited capacity idea embodied in all of the previous models by suggesting that the apparent limits on attention were in the service of preserving a coherent output that would follow that person’s goals rather than being driven by each input. These varied metaphors raised issues such as how early in time and in the processing stream could attention select input and what was the fate of non attended information.

The most important development in model building appeared in volumes that in 1986 presented a parallel processing framework for the summarization and expansion of empirical results in all areas of cognition including attention (Rumelhart and McClelland, 1986). These connectionist models were inspired by the idea of connecting neurons, but they lacked any clear idea of the organization of the nervous system. The major initial contribution of these models was to language processing and in particular to how every letter of a word was more visible than the same letter in isolation a result (Reicher, 1969) which appeared to many people raised with serial models of cognition. These models were also applied to attention (Cohen et al., 1994).

Lesions

The effort to connect the empirical models arising from cognitive studies with the cellular studies of attention led to the development of a very simple task to trace the time course of attention shifts in an otherwise empty field (Posner, 1980). This task required the person to press a single key to a small target. Before the target occurred, a cue was presented which on 80% of the trials indicated where the target would occur (valid cue) and on 20% indicated the position opposite the target (invalid cue). The cue could either occur at the location of the target (exogenous cue) or could be a central arrow (endogenous cue). Reaction times were faster when the person was correctly cued than on invalid trials. Since eye movements were monitored and not allowed and there was only one key to press on all trials the difference in RT between valid and invalid trials it was argued was due to a covert shift of attention.

Mountcastle’s cellular work had been aimed at the parietal lobe because patients with lesions there on the right side showed neglect of the side of space opposite the lesion. It had been reported that patients with lesions of the parietal lobe could make same-different judgments concerning objects that they were unable to report consciously (Volpe et al., 1979). It was also possible to follow this result in more analytic cognitive studies. What did a right parietal lesion do that made access to material on the left side of space difficult or impossible for consciousness and yet still left the information available for other judgments?

This puzzle was partially answered by the systematic study of patients with lesions in various locations in the parietal lobe, the pulvinar, and the colliculus. Patients with these lesions all tended to show neglect of the side of space opposite the lesion. However, in a detailed
cognitive analysis it became clear that their deficits were in different specific mental operations involved in shifting attention (Posner, 1988). These studies supported a limited form of brain localization. The hypothesis that arose from early studies of neglect was that different brain areas executed individual mental operations or computations such as disengaging from the current focus of attention (parietal lobe), moving or changing the focus of attention (colliculus), and engaging the subsequent target (pulvinar). If this hypothesis were even partly right, it might explain why Lashley thought the whole brain was involved in mental tasks. Perhaps it is not the whole brain's activity, but instead a widely dispersed network of quite localized neural areas.

More recent studies of lesioned patients showing neglect using fMRI methods have partly confirmed and greatly elaborated this idea (Corbetta and Shulman, 2011). Lesions of the right temporal parietal junction seem to be central to showing neglect. However, the dorsal part of the orienting network, which contains priority maps of visual locations (Bisley and Goldberg, 2010) also behaves abnormally in these patients even when the lesion does not extend into the more dorsal part of the orienting network. The temporal parietal junction on the right side seems critical to breaking attention to a currently attended location so that reorienting can take place.

Neuroimaging of attention

Orienting of attention

Many visual tasks involve covert or overt shifts of attention within the visual field. These tasks are often more complicated than the covert orienting task described above, but they can be viewed as involving the same mechanisms. Hillyard et al. (2004) have demonstrated that when one attends to a location information coming from that location shows an amplified electrical signal that includes an early positive wave (at about 100 ms called P1) and a subsequent negative wave (N1). This signature of selection by attention has been found for orienting with and without eye movements and in cueing and visual search tasks.

Enhancements due to attention were found with cues to other features than location such as color and form but these were somewhat later in time and involved a sustained increase in the negativity related to N2 sometimes called the processing negativity. An Early imaging studies using positron emission tomography showed clearly that this enhancement occurred in prefrontal areas of the visual system. Studies using event related fMRI together with EEG showed that P1 and N1 attention effects arose from prefrontal areas of the visual system.

The shift of attention often occurs prior to the occurrence of the target and event related fMRI results suggest that there is a change in the BOLD signal even before the target is presented which then enhances the perception of the target when it occurs. These enhancements involve not only faster responses but also changes improved sensory information (Montagna et al., 2009). One prominent theory that has arisen from these preparatory changes emphasizes the competition between sensory stimuli within various sensory and views attention as biasing this competition toward the cued target (Desimone and Duncan, 1995).

In series of experiments using the cueing methodology and event related fMRI Corbetta and Shulman (2002) were able to show two brain systems related to orienting to external stimuli. A more dorsal system including the frontal eye fields and the inter parietal sulcus followed an arrow cue and was identified with rapid strategic control over attention. When the target was missed subjects had to break their focus of attention on the cued location and switch to the target location. The switch appeared to involve the temporal parietal junction and was identified with an interrupt signal that allowed the switch. The more ventral network including the temporal parietal junction seemed to be more active following the target and was thus identified as part of a more ventral network responsive to sensory events. There does seem to be a remarkable consensus among researchers on the major nodes of the network involved in orienting of attention to sensory events including spatial cuing studies and visual search (Hillyard et al., 2004; Wright and Ward, 2008).

Perhaps even more surprising is that the brain areas involved in orienting to visual stimuli seem to be identical (within the fMRI range) with those involved with orienting to stimuli in other modalities (Driver et al., 2004). While attention operates on sensory specific modalities according to the incoming target, the sources of this effect are common. There are also important synergies between modalities. In many cases orienting a location will provide priority not only to the expected modality but also to information coming at the same location from other modalities (Driver et al., 2004) indicating how closely the sensory systems are integrated with the orienting network.

How are the sources of the orienting network described above able to influence sensory computations? Anatomically the source of the orienting effect lies in the network of parietal, frontal, and subcortical areas mentioned above. However, the influence of attention is on the signal arriving in sensory specific areas — for vision, in the primary visual cortex and extrastriate areas moving forward toward the anterior temporal lobe. It appears that this remote influence involves synchronization between activity in the more dorsal attention areas and the more ventral visual areas (Womelsdorf et al., 2007). The synchronization apparently leads to greater sensitivity in the visual system, allowing increased response to targets there and thus improved priority for processing them.

Perhaps the most influential theory of visual orienting in complex scenes is the Feature Integration Theory (FIT) (Treisman and Gelade, 1980). When a target is defined by a single feature which distinguishes it from the background it will pop out and thus is without any attentional limit. When, however, the target is defined by a conjunction (e.g., a red triangle with a background of red squares and blue triangles) the search time increases with number of items in the field. To summarize these finding Treisman postulated a map of features. When the target differs from non targets by a single feature the targets requires no search, but conjunctions require a serial search by a mechanism similar to that described above. Treisman predicted and found that outside the limited attentional system illusory conjunctions could be found in which subjects would report the presence of, for example a blue square. There have been many disputes about FIT, but most support the idea. For example, Wolfe (2007) provided a Guided Search Theory (GST) which deals with expectations activating particular aspects of a central salience map. Many of the ideas FIT and GST are compatible with cellular studies defining visual features and suggesting the presence of priority maps within the parietal cortex (Bisley and Goldberg, 2010).

Cellular studies conducted within visual areas suggest that as items are added to a visual scene they tend to inhibit the overall firing rate of cell responding to their presence. What attention to a target appears to do is to reduce the influence of this competition. This idea was important in the development of biased competition theory (Desimone and Duncan, 1995). This theory sees attention as arising out of the winner take all competition within various levels of sensory and association systems. fMRI studies confirm that attention to a stimulus can occur prior to its arrival changing the baseline neural and BOLD response and that the overall BOLD activity is reduced through competition.

An interesting feature of visual scenes is that we have the belief that we are aware of all of the items within the scene. However, this appears to be an illusion which arises because attention can be summoned so efficiently within a complex scene to any change that is accompanied by luminance or motion cues (Rensink et al., 1997). When these cues are eliminated quite radical changes can occur.
Attention and self regulation

An important idea about the role of the anterior cingulate in behavior is to suppose it is part of a neural network related to the resolution of conflict (Botvinick et al., 2001). This network might include areas of the prefrontal cortex, anterior insula and basal ganglia as well. There is a great deal of evidence supporting the idea from imaging of conflict tasks, but this paper tries to view the ability to resolve conflict among responses as part of more general systems to regulate competing networks (Posner et al., 2007a,b). This more general idea rests in part on developmental studies using fMRI.

A major breakthrough in the use of fMRI to study human development has arisen through the study of brain connectivity at rest. While much has been learned from the study of tasks appropriate to infants and young children it is very difficult to design a task that is appropriate and performed with similar strategies and success over a wide range of ages.

A number of studies have examined the brain activity of infants and young children at rest using fMRI (Fair et al., 2009, 2012; Gao et al., 2009). The results to date have shown evidence of sparse connectivity between brain structures during infancy and a strong increase in long range connectivity at 2 years (Gao et al., 2009) and later (Fair et al., 2007, 2009). In studies of neonates, the parietal areas, prominent in the orienting of attention network, show strong connectivity to lateral and medial frontal areas. By age 2, the anterior cingulate, which has been implicated in self regulation, shows stronger connections to frontal areas and to lateral parietal areas. In work with older children and adolescents (Fair et al., 2009), these tendencies continue and the ACC becomes increasingly differentiated from the orienting network as one approaches adulthood. Fair 2011 says “the data suggested that there might be at least two control networks functioning in parallel. Based on the differences in their functional connectivity and activation profiles we suggested that each network likely exerts distinct types of control on differing temporal scales. The fronto-parietal network was proposed to be important for rapidly adaptive control and to work on a shorter timescale. The cingulo-opercular network was thought to be important for more stable set maintenance, and to operate on a longer timescale. Since this initial work there have now been several reports supporting this framework.”

Note that the frontal parietal network corresponds roughly to the orienting network discussed above, while the cingulo-opercular network corresponds to what has been called the executive network involved in resolving conflict. These findings suggest that control structures related to executive attention and effortful control may be present in infancy, but do not exercise their full control over other networks until longer connections are formed later in childhood. Indeed, the connections suggest that initially the ACC has stronger connections to the orienting network and only later becomes differentiated from it. The stronger and earlier connections of the parietal areas suggest that in infancy and childhood the orienting network may play a central role in control that is later associated with the ACC. Error detection activates the mid-frontal and/or cingulate areas at 7 months (Berger et al., 2006), although the ability to infant to take action based on errors seems not be present until 3–4 years of age (Jones et al., 2003). These findings suggest that the role of the ACC and other executive control areas increases as long connections with other areas develop.

The ACC is a phylogenetically old area of the brain. Comparative anatomical studies point to important differences in the evolution of cingulate connectivity between non-human primates and people. Anatomical studies show the great expansion of white matter, which has increased more in recent evolution than has the neo cortex itself (Zilles, 2005). One type of projection cell called von Economo neuron is found only in the anterior cingulate and a related area of the anterior insula (Allman et al., 2005). It is thought that this neuron is important in communication between the cingulate and other brain areas. This neuron is not present at all in macaques and expands greatly in frequency between great apes and humans. The two brain areas in which von Economo neurons are found (cingulate and anterior insula) are also shown to be in close communication during the resting state (Dosenbach et al., 2007). Moreover, there is some evidence that the frequency of this type of neuron also increases in development between infancy and later childhood (Allman, et al, 2005). These neurons may provide the rapid and efficient connectivity needed for executive control and help explain why self-regulation in adult humans can be so much stronger than in other organisms.

Individual differences in network efficiency

Everyone has the attention networks described above. However, there are also individual differences in the efficiency of all brain networks. For example, the use of IQ is widespread as a measure of individual intellectual functioning. Fluid intelligence refers to the ability to solve difficult and often unfamiliar problems. Duncan et al. (2000) have shown that a brain network involving anterior cingulate, prefrontal areas and parietal areas is activated by tasks that require fluid intelligence in comparison with similar tasks which do not. It seems likely that this common network differs among people with those with higher levels of general intelligence showing more efficient activation of this network during problem solving.

The Attention Network Test (ANT) has been used to examine the efficiency of three brain networks underlying attention: alerting, orienting and executive attention (Fan et al., 2002a,b). The task requires the person to press one key if the central arrow points to the left and another if it points to the right. Conflict is introduced by having flankers surrounding the target pointing in either the same (congruent) or opposite (incongruent) direction as the target. Cues presented prior to the target provide information on where or when the target will occur. Reaction times for the separate conditions are subtracted, providing three measures that represent the efficiency of the individual in alerting, orienting and executive networks. In one sample of 40 normal adults (Fan et al., 2002a,b) each of these measure were reliable with a repeated presentation. In addition, there were no significant correlations among the measures. Subsequent work has confirmed the relative independence among networks, while showing that they can interact when conditions are made more difficult or otherwise changed. A study using fMRI showed that the anatomy of these three networks was for the most part independent (Fan et al., 2005).

In adults self regulation, sometimes control self control or will, can be easily demonstrated by studies that examine either the instruction...
to control affect or cognition. For example, the instruction to avoid arousal during processing of erotic events (Beauregard et al., 2001) or to ward off emotion when looking at negative pictures (Ochsner et al., 2002) produces a locus of activation in midfrontal and cingulate areas. In cognitive studies, where people are required to select a modality of input, the cingulate shows functional connectivity to the selected sensory system (Crottaz-Herbette and Mennonen, 2006). Similarly, when involved with emotional processing the cingulate shows a functional connection to limbic areas (Etkin et al., 2006). These findings support the role of cingulate areas in the control of cognition and emotion. There is also evidence for anatomical connectivity between the ventral cingulate and limbic areas and the dorsal cingulate, parietal and frontal areas (Beckman et al., 2009; Bush et al., 2000).

Development of executive network

The ability of the child to control conflict in the ANT and other conflict related cognitive task has been shown to correlate with parent reports of the ability of their child to control their behavior (effortful control or EC) at several ages during childhood (Posner et al., 2007a,b). This correlation between conflict scores and parental reports of effortful control forms one basis for the association between self regulation and executive attention. Effortful control is related to the empathy that children show toward others, their ability to delay an action and to avoid such behaviors as lying or cheating when given the opportunity. High levels of effortful control and the ability to resolve conflict are related to fewer antisocial behaviors such as truancy in adolescents (Rothbart, 2011). These findings show that self regulation, a psychological function crucial for child socialization, can also be studied in terms of specific anatomical areas and their connections by examining the development of the executive network.

Neuromodulators

The networks of attention have also been related to different neuromodulators (Green et al., 2008). The orienting network as discussed above involves areas of the inferior and superior parietal lobe and the frontal eye fields. Cholinergic systems arising in the basal forebrain play a critical role in modulating the orienting network. Lesions of the basal forebrain in monkeys interfere with orienting of attention (Voytko et al., 1994). However, it appears that the site of this effect involves the superior parietal lobe. Davidson and Marrocco (2000) made injections of scopolamine, a cholinergic antagonist, directly into the lateral intraparietal area of monkeys and found that these injections blocked orienting. The orienting network also involves two other major cortical areas: the temporal parietal junction and the frontal eye fields. When systemic, rather than localized injections of scopolamine were used, they also influenced orienting, but had a smaller effect than local injections into the parietal area. Cholinergic drugs do not affect the ability of a warning signal to improve. Pharmacological studies (Beane and Marrocco, 2004; Marrocco and Davidson, 1998) show that noradrenergic antagonists block the warning effect, but do not influence orienting. Thus, there appears to be a double dissociation, with noradrenaline (NE) involved mainly in the alerting network, and Ach (acetylcholine) relating to the orienting network.

The executive network involves brain areas that are rich in dopamine and their function is modulated by dopamine from the ventral tegmental areas (da Silva Alves et al., 2011; Williams and Goldman-Rakic, 1998). Human imaging requiring the resolution of conflict, such as the attention network task (ANT) have been shown to activate this area (Fan et al., 2003) and individual differences in the extent of this activation have been shown to involve dopamine related genes (Fan et al., 2002a,b).

Genes

The common nature of brain networks argue strongly for the role of genes in their construction. This has led cognitive neuroscience to incorporate data from the growing field of human genetics. One method for doing this relates individual variations in genes (genetic alleles) to individual differences in brain activity can serve as an intermediate level for relating genes to behavior. As one example, the Attention Network Test (ANT) has been used to examine individual differences in the efficiency of executive attention. A number of dopamine and serotonin genes have been associated specifically with the scores on executive attention (Green et al., 2008; Posner et al., 2007a,b).

There is evidence that these genetic associations are modulated by environmental factors. This is perhaps clearest for the Dopamine 4 Receptor Gene (DRD4) which has been associated with the executive network in adult imaging studies (Fan et al., 2003). Data at 18–20 months found that parental quality interacted with the 7 repeat allele of the DRD4 gene to influence the temperamental dimensions of impulsivity, high intensity pleasure and activity level, measures of sensation seeking (Sheese et al., 2007). Parenting made a strong difference for children with the 7 repeat in moderating sensation seeking. Those with poorer quality parenting were far more impulsive and sensation seeking than those with high quality parenting. Parenting quality made no difference for children without the 7 repeat allele. At 3–4 years the DRD4 gene in interaction with parenting was related to children's effortful control. One study found that only those children with the 7-repete of the DRD4 showed the influence of a parent training intervention (Bakermans-Kranenburg et al., 2008), suggesting that at least some of the genetic effects are directly influenced by parenting. These data have suggested that the DRD4 7 repeat presence may make the child more susceptible to environmental influences (Bakermans-Kranenburg and van IJzendoorn, 2011; Belsky and Pluess, 2009; Sheese et al, 2007). The importance of this gene on environment impact seems to continue into adulthood (Larsen et al., 2010).

Since parenting and other aspects of culture interact with genes to influence behavior it should be possible to develop specific training methods that can be used to influence underlying brain networks. Several training studies have shown improved executive attention function and changes in brain activity using various practice oriented methods of training (Klingberg et al., 2002; Klingberg, 2011) and training methods designed to change the brain state (see Tang and Posner (2009)).

Future directions

It is extremely difficult to predict the future of new basic findings in the study of attention. It is more possible to indicate current gaps that it would be nice to see filled or places where current knowledge of attention might receive fruitful application. Below we concentrate on these points.

Filling gaps

The excitement in the field of attention is in relating different levels of analysis including behavioral models, imaging, cellular recording and genetics. There has been progress in doing so, but many gaps remain.

As one example, there are plenty of good examples of brain plasticity, but it would be useful to understand the amount of practice needed to change such MRI measures as activation, functional connectivity, fractional anisotropy or structural MRI. Is there a strict ordering of these changes or do they differ with brain area or task? Even the exact meaning of these changes remains to be fully understood. Fractional anisotropy can be changed by myelination, but
what other actors could influence it? Does increase in cortical thickness always relate to improved computation?

It would also be nice to know how shifts in covert attention are related to both microsaccades and to the programming of eye movements. It seems clear that they are related, but to what degree are covert shifts dependent upon programming saccades? The finding of somewhat separate and overlapping populations of cells is one important method for studying this question (Moore et al., 2012; Thompson et al., 2005). Further steps might involve cellular studies of the frontal eye fields or more accurate MRI methods that could separate cell populations.

While some gaps are at the cellular or imaging level others involve the links between neural networks and behavior. The beautiful studies of the development of the brain connectivity (Fair et al., 2007, 2009, 2012) provide insights into brain development, but reveal relatively little about what these changes mean for the behavior of the infant and child.

Important application of research on attention is already starting to occur so it may be easier to predict further development of educational and mental health applications as discussed below.

Education

Imaging has begun to be applied to training of attentional networks of children prior to starting school. Because the ability to regulate conflict and to delay reward are important predictors of school performance, it seemed plausible that practice might have widespread effects on learning. Several methods improve the ability the executive attention network in preschool children (Diamond et al., 2007; Rueda et al., 2005), however, we do not know what the long term effects of this kind of training is on school performance. Similar practice oriented training methods of attention and working memory have been used with older children with ADHD (Klingberg et al., 2002; Klingberg, 2011). The behavioral and neuroimaging methods have pointed to improvement in attention and brain systems with training, but whether or not these methods can be sufficiently powerful to replace drug oriented therapies remains to be studied.

Just as MRI has involved both the study of specific networks during task performance and the study of brain states during rest so attentional training has involved either the practice methods describe above or methods designed to change the brain state in a way that will improve performance such as is involved in some forms of medication (Tang and Posner, 2009). Some of these studies have involved short term training random assignment and comprehensive assays of performance. While these studies have been promising in adults they need to be applied to children and followed up for their subsequent influence in school.

Mental illness

The ability to image the human brain has provided new perspectives for neuropsychologists in their efforts to understand, diagnose, and treat damage to the human brain that might occur as the result of stroke, tumor, traumatic injury, degenerative disease, or errors in development. Because mental illness is now seen as involving brain networks, the study of neurological and psychiatric disorder has merged.

Attentional difficulties are a very frequent symptom of different forms of mental illness, ranging from learning disabilities to psychopathology. However, without a real understanding of the neural substrates of attention, there has not been a sufficient basis for systematic efforts to remedy attentional problems. This situation has been changed with the application of our understanding of attentional networks to pathological issues. Viewing attention as an organ system and investigating the underlying neural networks provides a means of classifying disorders that differs from the usual internализшьный (e.g. depression) versus externalizing (conduct disorder) classification applied to such disorders. In the section below we consider the relationship between attention networks and some common disorders. Even though in general we do not know whether the attention deficits are the causes or the results of the condition, the attention disorder may illuminate the symptoms and suggest methods of prevention and/or remediation.

Studies that have used the Attention Network Test (ANT) or similar cognitive tests have been useful in the effort to identify which attention network might be at deficit in different disorder. There is evidence that ADHD may involve a deficit in alerting either alone (Halperin and Schulz, 2006) or in conjunction with an executive deficit (Johnson et al., 2008). Autism is most frequently seen as a disorder of social communication. Autistic children fail to reference others, and they have deficits in communication. However, a deficit found in cognitive studies of autistic children is a failure to orient, even when non-social cues indicate where in space a likely target will occur. A study using the ANT found that children with Autistic Spectrum Disorders (ASD) showed a significant deficit in orienting but not in other networks. (Townsend et al., 2012). An early deficit in orienting could by itself be important in communication problems, since communication critically depends on social referencing. It seems unlikely that autism is confined to a general orienting deficit, since many other brain and behavioral abnormalities have been reported in this complex disorder, but the orienting deficit may provide an important clue to treatment.

Since executive attention is related to self regulation in childhood as discussed above it is clearly important in many disorders such as those involving conduct, addiction and antisocial behavior. It is not surprising that executive attention seems to be impaired in many forms of mental illness including Alzheimer’s disease and schizophrenia.

The role of attention in various forms of mental illness and the availability of imaging as a means of examining brain networks prior to and following rehabilitation should provide opportunities for research that could fine-tune both behavioral and pharmacological intervention methods. Genetic analysis should also aid in an understanding of who might benefit from particular forms of therapy. These methods and the analysis of attention networks described in this paper could foster efforts at prevention or treatment of mental disorders.
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