Landslide-dammed paleolake perturbs marine sedimentation and drives genetic change in anadromous fish
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Large bedrock slides have been shown to modulate rates and processes of river activity by forming dams, forcing upstream aggradation of water and sediment, and generating catastrophic outburst floods. Less apparent is the effect of large landslide dams on river ecosystems and marine sedimentation. Combining analyses of 1-m resolution topographic data (acquired via airborne laser mapping) and field investigation, we present evidence for a large, landslide-dammed paleolake along the Eel River, CA. The landslide mass initiated from a high-relief, resistant outcrop which failed catastrophically, blocking the Eel River with an approximately 130-m-tall dam. Support for the resulting 55-km-long, 1.3-km² lake includes subtle shorelines cut into bounding terrain, deltas, and lacustrine sediments radiocarbon dated to 22.5 ka. The landslide provides an explanation for the recent genetic divergence of local anadromous (ocean-run) steelhead trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) by blocking their migration route and causing gene flow between summer run and winter run reproductive ecotypes. Further, the dam arrested the prodigious flux of sediment down the Eel River; this cessation is recorded in marine sedimentary deposits as a 10-fold reduction in deposition rates of Eel-derived sediment and constitutes a rare example of a terrestrial event transmitted through the dispersal system and recorded offshore.

Results and Discussion

Using a 230-km², 1-m resolution Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) dataset along a 30-km stretch of the Eel River (Fig. 1), we observe extensive earthflow activity generating long, gently sloping (30–35%) hillslopes (14) with sparse terraces flanking the main channel. However, an abundance of subtle, near-horizontal surfaces identified on the LiDAR dataset (Figs. S1–S3) occur at a relatively consistent elevation (240–243 m above sea level). These features contrast with typical river terrace profiles, including some documented along the nearby South Fork Eel River (23, 24), which tend to decrease in elevation downstream tracing paleoriver beds. The bench-like features in our study area are typically gently undulating surfaces with bioturbated, fine-grained sediments and occasional gravel clasts. A LiDAR-based hypsometric analysis reveals a pronounced increase in planform area at 239–243 m elevation when compared to the background trend (Fig. 2). The increased area between 239 and 244 m (ca. 40,000 m²) is comparable to the approximate 38,000 m² cumulative area deficit within 245- to 250-m elevation. We interpret this hypsometric trend as morphologic evidence for shoreline features of a paleolake with stable water levels for a substantial period of time, causing slope truncation and shore platform formation. Further, small creeks and gullies at approximately 240 m commonly exhibit a wedge of fine-grained sediments indicating deltaic sedimentation into the lake (Figs. S2–S3). Analysis of the Eel River long profile shows a slight convexity at the upstream portion of the lake (Fig. S4), suggesting either substantial sediment deposition, or reduced channel incision due to arming of the channel bed by standing water or sediment (9).
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Pivotal to the landslide-dammed paleolake hypothesis is identification of a landslide capable of damming the Eel River. We identified a large 0.8-km<sup>2</sup>, ca. 100-m-deep landslide scar on the southwestern flank of Nefus Peak (Fig. 3), a heavily forested, approximately 5-km<sup>2</sup> high-relief (1,213 m) greenstone outcrop composed of mafic meta-igneous rock. The landslide scar has a steep-sided, elongate-scoop morphology characteristic of a rapidly moving translational landslide, in sharp contrast to the gentle, fluid appearance of the surrounding earthflow-prone terrain (14) (Fig. 3). The landslide scar has a minimum estimated volume of 3.6 × 10<sup>7</sup> m<sup>3</sup>, whereas the estimated minimum volume of the dam is 2.0 × 10<sup>7</sup> m<sup>3</sup>. Based on a water surface elevation of 240 m and modern riverbed elevation below the landslide scar (ca. 100 m), the dam would have been up to 140-m high. We could not locate any other potential landslide sources capable of damming the Eel River to the 240-m elevation downstream of Nefus Peak, whereupon the valley widens, and mean local relief decreases (Fig. 1 and Fig. S4).

Landslide-dammed lakes commonly exhibit delta features and lacustrine sediments (27, 28). We discovered an outcrop of laminated sediments on the southern bank of Kekawaka Creek (Figs. 1, 3, and 4) at 230 m above sea level, 10 m below the purported lake surface. The exposure consists of decimeter-scale alternating packages of fine sandy silt and coarse gravel sequences, with 2.5 m of total vertical exposure (Fig. 4). The fine-grained packages are submillimeter scale laminated sandy silts and clays, with intricate fluid-escape structures, indicating suspension fall out (29). Such deposits are typical of a lacustrine environment and river-fed basins, but are foreign to an uplifting, highly erosive landscape such as the upper Eel River catchment.

Radiocarbon dating of wood and detrital charcoal within the lower fine silt layers returned a range of radiocarbon ages (Table S1). Two intact bark and wood samples have modern ages and likely reflect recent penetration of the sediments by roots. Three detrital charcoal samples returned calendar (31) ages of 22.6, 25.7, and 41.3 ka. Detrital charcoal should be assumed a maximum age given the potential for charcoal to reside in soils prior to deposition. Therefore, as there are samples close in age at 22.6 and 25.7 ka, these dates more likely represent the timing of deposition than the older age that exceeds 40 ka.

Although little morphologic evidence for a dam is preserved below the landslide scar, given the approximate timing of the landslide dam (ca. 22.5 ka) and long-term erosion rates (approxi-
mately 0.5 mm/yr) (14), the Eel River may have incised 10–15 m below the predam river bed elevation since the damming episode. The adjacent hillslopes likely have responded to a similar degree through earthflow activity, decreasing the chances of finding evidence for the dam (or terraces and lake deposits) in situ, whereas the landslide scar persists because of the erosion-resistant greenstone.

We analyzed the volume and extent of the lake from LiDAR data augmented with 10-m resolution digital topography. Assuming Nefus Peak is the source of the dam and a lake level of 240 m, the paleolake covered an area of 29 km² and had a volume of 1.3 km³ based on modern topography (Fig. 1B). The dam catchment area was approximately 5,500 km², which accounts for 58% of the modern Eel River watershed, and enclosed the bulk of the highly erodible Central Belt Mélange rock in the catchment (25, 32). In comparison to most landslide dams that occur in steep mountainous topography with high gradient rivers (33, 34), the Eel River and adjacent hillslopes have relatively low gradients (0.003 and 0.3–0.35, respectively), such that the paleolake was vast, extending approximately 55-km upstream (Fig 1 and Fig. S4). The Eel River currently has an average annual water discharge of 6.6 km³/yr (2), indicating the lake would have filled with water in weeks or months in the wetter late Pleistocene climate (21, 35). Given an erosion rate of 0.5 mm/yr and assuming complete retention of sediment behind the dam, the lake would have filled with sediment in about 600 y, or within 6,000 y accounting only for bedload impoundment (13).

Although evidence indicating how the dam failed is currently lacking, large landslide dams frequently fail catastrophically via mass failure, overtopping, or piping (33, 34), and they are particularly vulnerable in tectonically active areas such as the Eel River catchment. We estimate the maximum potential outburst-flood discharge from the paleolake assuming complete catastrophic failure of the dam and critical flow through the breach; a reasonable approach given the relatively large lake volume (34). Gradual erosion of the dam or infilling of the lake with sediment could have produced a lesser discharge. We estimate the breach geometry from the modern valley cross-section at the narrowest point in the valley below the landslide (Fig. 3). Assuming failure of a 130-m-tall dam, peak discharge is approximately $8.4 \times 10^5$ m³/s through the 610-m-wide and 101-m-deep channel, comparable to other outburst floods from lakes of this depth and volume (34). This discharge approximates the water transport capacity of the channel at this location for steady and uniform flow. Due to the size of the impoundment and volume of the lake, this potentially represents the second largest documented landslide dam outburst flood in North America (34).

Further evidence for the dam comes from an anomalously low concentration of Eel River derived sediments recorded offshore in an interval from 25 to 22 ka (16). Previously, oceanic circulation patterns were proposed as the cause of these sedimentary
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normally geographically isolated, with summer run fish spawning reproductive ecotypes can interbreed, but the populations are spawn across much of the Eel River shortly thereafter. The two Winter run steelhead migrate upriver during winter months and Fork Eel River (Fig. 1 from April to July and reside in deep pools in the upper Middle Oncorhynchus mykiss ecotypes of anadromous Pacific Steelhead trout (in western North America, the Eel River has two reproductive genetic divergence of anadromous trout. Like many catchments inine environment (29), it is well suited to both rapidly transmit terrestrial perturbations and preserve them in the mar-
"records, as a shutdown of Eel River sedimentation was envisioned as highly unlikely (16). Because the age of the lake deposits fall within the interval during which the low sediment yield was recorded, we propose the landslide-dammed lake arrested much of the flux of sediment down the Eel River (as is common for large dammed lakes). This period of suppressed Eel River sediment delivery represents an important example of a discrete terrestrial signal transmitted through the fluvial system and recorded in the marine record. Given the Eel River catchment’s high erosion rate, short river length, minimal floodplain, and proximal offshore depocenter (2), it is well suited to both rapidly transmit terrestrial perturbations and preserve them in the marine environment (29). The landslide dam also provides an explanation for local genetic divergence of anadromous trout. Like many catchments in western North America, the Eel River has two reproductive ecotypes of anadromous Pacific Steelhead trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). The endangered summer run steelhead migrate upriver from April to July and reside in deep pools in the upper Middle Fork Eel River (Fig. 1B), before spawning in the winter (22, 36). Winter run steelhead migrate upriver during winter months and spawn across much of the Eel River shortly thereafter. The two reproductive ecotypes can interbreed, but the populations are normally geographically isolated, with summer run fish spawning farther upriver. Distinct to the Eel River, the ecotypes are more closely related to each other than equivalent ecotype comparisons in nearby rivers, suggesting a local cause rather than regional climatic or tectonic forcing (22, 37). Assuming summer and winter run steelhead populations existed in the Eel River prior to the landslide (as in adjacent catchments), the landslide dam can explain the recent (16–28 ka) apparent genetic divergence of the steelhead (22), owing to genetic introgression while the dam was emplaced. The landslide dam would likely have been impassable to anadromous fish, blocking access to the Middle Fork Eel River spawning grounds. Returning summer and winter run steelhead would have been forced to spawn in the river below the dam, allowing gene flow between the reproductive ecotypes, potentially for centuries or longer, resulting in more closely related ecotypes than in adjacent river systems. Although events such as drainage capture have been shown to cause genetic divergence in freshwater fish (38), and there are examples of natural dams blocking anadromous fish passage (10), genetic introgression (hybridization) is considerably rarer, and there are few documented examples that do not involve anthropogenic modification of the environment or species relocation.

Fig. 4. (A) Outcrop of lacustrine deposits exposed on the southern bank of Kekawaka Creek (see Fig. 3 for location). Grid scale is 0.5 m. Matrix supported, poorly sorted angular gravels separate the fine-grained laminated sequences. (B) Sample of finely laminated deposits near the base of the section. The absence of mud cracks suggests no subaerial exposure during deposition, whereas fluid-escape structures and the absence of bioturbation indicate rapid deposition.

Conclusions Extreme geomorphic events can drive fundamental change to both landscapes and ecosystems, although it is rare to be able to clearly and directly point to how a specific prehistoric event, such as a large landslide, ultimately manifests in the landscape and depositional record, or may drive genetic change in animals. This difficulty arises because evidence for the event can be rapidly removed or comprise one of many similar events or signals so as to be nonunique. Because evidence for a landslide dam of this size and duration is rare in the Eel River environment (39), we are able to isolate the dam’s effects on the landscape, and we link the cessation of sedimentation to marine sediments. The Eel River landslide dam represents a rare example of a discrete and transient geologic event permanently affecting a population of anadromous fish (10), and it may serve as a useful analogue for the long-term genetic effect of engineered dams on modern fish populations. Finally, a 130-m-tall landslide dam and 55-km-long lake represents a fundamental geomorphic feature and signifi-
cant potential hazard. The combination of a wetter late Pleisto-
cene climate (35) and seismic activity is an appealing explanation for the original failure of the landslide, although this remains speculation. Smaller examples of landslide-dammed lakes are not uncommon in steep mountainous terrain; however, landslidedammed paleolakes of this scale are comparatively rare in low-relief, low-gradient landscapes with weak, highly sheared meta-sedimentary rocks. These environmental conditions are common to many parts of the Pacific Rim and southern Europe, where the potential hazard and geomorphic role of landslide-dammed lakes may be underestimated.

Materials and Methods Terraces. We used LiDAR-derived maps and shoreline shape files loaded onto a handheld Global Positioning System unit to accurately follow the potential paleolake shore along contour for kilometers at a time. Along these transects, we used a combination of hand auger, soil pits, and investigation of the banks of incised streams to look for lakeshore deposits.

Fig. 2 was constructed by binning the total area within each meter of elevation along the main stem Eel River and tributaries to construct a graph of the canyon elevation hypsometry for 28-km upstream of the potential dam site to the confluence with the North Fork Eel (the extent of the LiDAR coverage). We analyzed the elevation range 200–300 m because this gener-
ously bracketed the targeted terrace elevation, yet avoided the broad (100–
to 150-m wide) bed of the Eel River. Lacustrine Deposits. The outcrop of lacustrine sediments was perched behind a large rock pinnacle approximately 10 m above the present elevation of Kekawaka Creek, and it had been recently exposed by a slump. We excavated several 20 cm wide cubic blocks of fine-grained sediments for detailed
analysis, and identified wood, bark, and submillimeter scale charcoal fragments using a binocular microscope. For the charcoal samples, multiple fragments along a discrete horizon were aggregated to obtain sufficient mass (>0.005 g) for radiocarbon dating. Two samples were processed and analyzed by Beta Analytic. We pretreated the remaining three samples in the Archaeo-
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Landslide Scar. We calculated the minimum volume of the landslide scar by rubber-sheeting a surface over the margins of the landslide scar and calculating the volume between this surface and the LiDAR-derived topography. To estimate the size of the dam, we calculated the volume required to fill the current Eel River canyon topography to 240 m, with upstream- and down-

\[ Fr = \left( \frac{Q^2}{2gA^2} \right)^{1/3}. \]  

where \( Fr \) is the Froude number, \( Q \) is discharge (cubic meters per second), \( g \) is acceleration of gravity (9.8 m/s²), and \( A \) is the cross-sectional area of flow (square meters).